arXiv:1506.00405v1 [math.LO] 1 Jun 2015

TWO-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLY CONNECTED ABELIAN LOCALLY NASH GROUPS

E. BARO, J. DE VICENTE, AND M. OTERO

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to give a description of simply connected abelian locally Nash groups of dimension 2. Along the way we prove that, for any $n \geq 2$, a locally Nash structure over $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ can be characterized via a meromorphic map admitting an algebraic addition theorem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction. 1
2. Category of Locally Nash Groups. 4
  2.A. Locally Nash manifolds. 4
  2.B. Locally Nash groups. 7
3. Algebraic Addition Theorems. 13
  3.A. A separate rationality result. 15
  3.B. Some AAT results and the proof of Theorem 3.12. 22
4. Periods of real meromorphic maps. 27
  4.A. Locally Nash groups and AAT 27
  4.B. Periods of meromorphic maps. 32
5. Two-dimensional simply connected abelian locally Nash groups. 34
Appendix: One-dimensional simply connected locally Nash groups. 39
References 43

1. INTRODUCTION.

In 1952 John Nash proved in [13] that any compact smooth manifold may be equipped with both an analytic and a semialgebraic structure. After Nash's article, those analytic manifolds that are also equipped with a semialgebraic

---

Date: June 2, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03C64; Secondary 14P10, 14P20, 22E15.

First and third authors supported by Spanish GAAR MTM2011-22435 and MTM2014-55565-P. Second author supported by a grant of the International Program of Excellence in Mathematics at Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. The results of this paper are part of the second author's Ph.D. dissertation.

structure are named Nash manifolds. These manifolds combine the good properties of analytic manifolds together with the finiteness properties of semialgebraic manifolds, while remaining complex enough to present interesting problems. Because of that, Nash manifolds have attracted the attention of many mathematicians, being [2] and [17] the main references and a good introduction to the subject. Among Nash manifolds, of special interest are the Nash groups, which are analytic groups admitting a semialgebraic structure. The most relevant result about Nash groups is done by Hrushovski and Pillay in [8] (see also [9]), where a close relation between affine Nash groups and real algebraic groups is established. However, although Nash groups share some of the good properties of algebraic groups, not much else is known about them, specially in the non-affine case. Shiota reviews the main results on Nash manifolds in [18], including a description of the one-dimensional affine Nash groups given in [10] (see also [11]). In order to obtain that description, it is essential to get first a description of locally Nash groups, which are analytic groups admitting a "weak" semialgebraic structure. The semialgebraic structure is weakened in order to allow the universal coverings of Nash groups – which are not in general Nash groups – to be locally Nash groups. Note that Nash groups are a particular case of groups definable in o-minimal structures, see, e.g., [15] and its references for literature about these groups.

The purpose of this article is to give a description of the locally Nash group structures on $(\mathbb{R}^2,+)$ and also to clarify the category of locally Nash groups. We see this as a first step to obtain a description of the two-dimensional abelian Nash groups.

Next, we introduce the category of locally Nash groups. Given an open subset U of $\mathbb{R}^n$, a map $f=(f_1,\dots,f_m):U\to\mathbb{R}^m$ is a *Nash map* if $f_1,\dots,f_m$ are both analytic and semialgebraic. A *locally Nash manifold* is an analytic manifold M with an atlas $\{(U_i,\phi_i)\}_{i\in I}$ such that for each $i,j\in I$, $\phi_i(U_i\cap U_j)$ is semialgebraic and the transition maps are Nash maps. We call such atlas a *Nash atlas*. A map $f:M\to N$ between locally Nash manifolds is a *locally Nash map* if for each point x of M and $f(x)$ of N there exist charts (U,ϕ) and (V,ψ) of their respective Nash atlases and an open subset U' of U such that $x\in U'$, $f(U')\subset V$ and $\psi\circ f\circ\phi^{-1}:\phi(U')\to\psi(V)$ is a Nash map. In a natural way we define locally Nash group and locally Nash group homomorphism/isomorphism between Nash groups (see Section 2). The study of Nash atlases for $(\mathbb{R}^n,+)$ will lead us in a natural way to the concept of algebraic addition theorem, that we now recall. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Let $A_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be the ring of all power series in n variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ that are convergent in a neighborhood of the origin. Let $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be the quotient field of $A_{\mathbb{K},n}$. Let u and v be variables of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We say $(\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n)\in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admits an *algebraic addition theorem* (*AAT*) if $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ and for each i each $\phi_i(u+v)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_1(u),\dots,\phi_n(u),\phi_1(v),\dots,\phi_n(v))$. Note that the AAT is independent of $\mathbb{K}$. The n coordinate functions of a Nash coordinate neighborhood of a local Nash group structure on $(\mathbb{R}^n,+)$ admits naturally (a functional version of) AAT (see Lemma 4.2). This will be specially useful to study the different locally Nash structures of $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$. We remark that although $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ has a unique analytic structure (the standard analytic structure, *i.e.* the one compatible with the identity map), it may have several different locally Nash structures, and the main aim of this paper is to describe them for $n = 2$.

One of our main results is Theorem 4.3, that states that for each locally Nash structure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ there exists $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that:

(1) f is a real meromorphic map, *i.e.* each of its coordinate functions is the quotient of two analytic functions h_1 and h_2 satisfying $h_i(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 1, 2)$, and
(2) there exist $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 such that

$$\phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto f(u + k)$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism, its image is semialgebraic and the Taylor power series expansion of ϕ at 0 admits an AAT.

Moreover, the translates of (U, ϕ) give a locally Nash group structure isomorphic to the original one. We denote this locally Nash structure by $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ (note that this notation is consistent with that of [10]). More precisely, we shall prove the following:

Theorem 4.3 *Every simply connected n-dimensional abelian locally Nash group is locally Nash isomorphic to some $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ where $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT.*

To prove Theorem 4.3 we will make use of the following result, which might be of interest by itself. In fact, this result follows the lines of the classical work of Weierstrass for functions admitting an AAT (see [7, Ch. XXI] for dimension 1 and [16] for a general discussion of the problem on higher dimensions).

Theorem 3.12 *Let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admitting an AAT. Then there exists $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admitting an AAT and algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ and $\psi_0 \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ such that:*
(1) *for each $f \in \mathbb{K}(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n)$ there exists $R \in \mathbb{K}(X_1, \dots, X_{2(n+1)})$ such that*

$$f(u + v) = R\big(\psi_0(u), \dots, \psi_n(u), \psi_0(v), \dots, \psi_n(v)\big)$$

and
(2) *each $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n$ is the quotient of two power series (of $A_{\mathbb{K},n}$), both convergent in all $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

In order to describe the locally Nash groups structures on $(\mathbb{R}^2, +)$, Theorem 4.3 allow us to use the description given by Painlevé in [16] of pairs of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^2$ which admit an AAT. The description is based on the Weierstrass functions $\wp_\Omega$, ζ_Ω and σ_Ω corresponding to a lattice Ω of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ and on the fields of abelian functions $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ corresponding to a lattice Λ of $(\mathbb{C}^2, +)$ (*i.e.* $f \in \mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ if and only if $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic function such that $f(z + \lambda) = f(z)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$). Painlevé proves in [16] that a pair of meromorphic functions from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{C}$ which admits an AAT is a transcendence basis of a field belonging to one of the families $\mathcal{P}_1,\dots,\mathcal{P}_6$ (which we will call *the families of the Painlevé description*) given in the statement below.

Theorem 5.5 *Every simply connected n-dimensional abelian locally Nash group is locally Nash isomorphic to one of the form $(\mathbb{R}^2,+,f)$ where $f:\mathbb{C}^2\to\mathbb{C}^2$ is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT and such that its coordinate functions are algebraic over one of the fields of the following families:*

1. $\mathcal{P}_1 := \{\,\mathbb{C}(g_1\circ\alpha) : \alpha\in GL_2(\mathbb{C})\,\}$, *where* $g_1(u,v)=(u,v)$;
2. $\mathcal{P}_2 := \{\,\mathbb{C}(g_2\circ\alpha) : \alpha\in GL_2(\mathbb{C})\,\}$, *where* $g_2(u,v)=(u,e^v)$;
3. $\mathcal{P}_3 := \{\,\mathbb{C}(g_3\circ\alpha) : \alpha\in GL_2(\mathbb{C})\,\}$, *where* $g_3(u,v)=(e^u,e^v)$;
4. $\mathcal{P}_4 := \{\,\mathbb{C}(g_{4,a,\Omega}\circ\alpha) : \alpha\in GL_2(\mathbb{C}),\ a\in\{0,1\},\ \Omega$ *is a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C},+)\,\}$, *where* $g_{4,a,\Omega}(u,v)=(\wp_\Omega(u), v-a\zeta_\Omega(u))$;
5. $\mathcal{P}_5 := \{\,\mathbb{C}(g_{5,a,\Omega}\circ\alpha) : \alpha\in GL_2(\mathbb{C}),\ a\in\mathbb{C},\ \Omega$ *is a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C},+)\,\}$, *where* $g_{5,a,\Omega}(u,v)=\left(\wp_\Omega(u),\frac{\sigma_\Omega(u-a)}{\sigma_\Omega(u)}e^v\right)$; *and*
6. $\mathcal{P}_6 := \{\,\mathbb{C}(\Lambda) : \Lambda$ *is a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C}^2,+)$, $tr.deg._{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)=2\}$.

Furthermore, if $(\mathbb{R}^2,+,g)$ is another locally Nash group, where $g:\mathbb{C}^2\to\mathbb{C}^2$ is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT, and the coordinate functions of f and g are algebraic over fields of different families, then $(\mathbb{R}^2,+,f)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^2,+,g)$ are not locally Nash isomorphic.

Even further, each of the families induce at least one locally Nash group structure on $(\mathbb{R}^2,+)$.

The sections of the article are divided as follows: in Section 2 we define the category of locally Nash groups, in Section 3 we prove the basic properties of AAT and Theorem 3.12, in Section 4 we extend the results of 3 for meromorphic functions and we prove Theorem 4.3 and finally in Section 5 we prove Theorem 5.5. We also include an appendix where we rewrite the proof of the classification of the one-dimensional simply connected locally Nash groups ([10, Theorem 1]) in a uniform way.

2. Category of Locally Nash Groups.

In this section we collect the definitions and basic properties related to locally Nash manifolds and groups.

2.A. Locally Nash manifolds.

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. We say that $f:U\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is a *Nash map* if f is both semialgebraic and analytic. Alternatively, a Nash map can be described as follows. Given maps $f:W\to\mathbb{R}^n$ and $g:W\to\mathbb{R}^n$ we say that g is *algebraic* over $\mathbb{R}(f)$ on W if for each $i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ there exists a polynomial $P_i\in\mathbb{R}[X_1,\dots,X_n,Y]$ of positive degree in Y such that

$$P_i(f_1(x),\dots,f_n(x),g_i(x))\equiv 0 \text{ on } W.$$

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then, $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Nash map if and only if U is semialgebraic, f is analytic and $f(x)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(x)$ on U (see [2, Proposition 8.1.8]). In all what follows we will make use of this characterization without further mention. We say that $f : U \to V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a *Nash diffeomorphism* if f is an analytic diffeomorphism and both f and f^{-1} are Nash maps. Let M be an analytic manifold. Two charts (U, ϕ) and (V, ψ) of an atlas for M are *Nash compatible* if $\phi(U)$ and $\psi(V)$ are semialgebraic and either $U \cap V = \emptyset$ or

$$\psi \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U \cap V) \to \psi(U \cap V)$$

is a Nash diffeomorphism. An atlas of M is a *Nash atlas* if any two charts in the atlas are Nash compatible. In particular, $\phi(U)$ is semialgebraic for any (U, ϕ) in the Nash atlas. An analytic manifold M together with a Nash atlas is called a *locally Nash manifold*.

Definition 2.1. Let M_1 and M_2 be locally Nash manifolds equipped with Nash atlases $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{(V_j, \psi_j)\}_{j \in J}$ respectively. A *locally Nash map* $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is a (continuous) map such that for every $p \in M_1$ and every $j \in J$ such that $f(p) \in V_j$ there exists $i \in I$ and an open subset $U \subset U_i$ such that $p \in U$, $f(U) \subset V_j$ and

$$\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1} : \phi_i(U) \to \psi_j(V_j)$$

is a Nash map. (For an equivalent definition see Proposition 2.2.)

A locally Nash map $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is a *locally Nash diffeomorphism* if f is an analytic (global) diffeomorphism and both f and f^{-1} are locally Nash maps. A *locally Nash group* is a locally Nash manifold equipped with group operations (multiplication and inversion) which are given by locally Nash maps. A *homomorphism of locally Nash groups* is a locally Nash map that is also a homomorphism of groups. An *isomorphism of locally Nash groups* is a locally Nash diffeomorphism that is also an isomorphism of groups. Clearly a map f is an isomorphism of locally Nash maps if and only if both f is an isomorphism of abstract groups and f and f^{-1} are locally Nash maps.

Locally Nash maps can be characterized as follows.

Proposition 2.2. *Let M_1 and M_2 be locally Nash manifolds with Nash atlases $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{(V_j, \psi_j)\}_{j \in J}$ respectively. The following are equivalent:*

1. *$f : M_1 \to M_2$ is a locally Nash map.*
2. *For every $p \in M_1$ and for each $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ such that $p \in U_i$ and $f(p) \in V_j$ there exists an open subset U of U_i such that $p \in U$, $f(U) \subset V_j$, and*

   $$\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1} : \phi_i(U) \to \psi_j(V_j)$$

   is a Nash map.

(3) *For every $p \in M_1$ there exist $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ such that $p \in U_i$ and $f(p) \in V_j$ and there exists an open subset U of U_i such that $p \in U$, $f(U) \subset V_j$, and*

$$\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1} : \phi_i(U) \to \psi_j(V_j)$$

is a Nash map.

Proof. Since (2) implies (1) and (1) implies (3), it is enough to show that (3) implies (2). Fix $p \in M_1$ and let $i \in I$, $j \in J$ and $U \subset U_i$ whose existence ensures (3). Fix $k \in I$ and $\ell \in J$ with $p \in U_k$ and $f(p) \in V_\ell$. Clearly, it suffices to show that there exists an open subset U' of U_k with $p \in U'$ such that

$$\psi_\ell \circ f \circ \phi_k^{-1} : \phi_k(U') \to \psi_\ell(V_\ell)$$

is Nash. To prove the latter, firstly note that $\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1}$ is continuous and both $U_i \cap U_k \ni p$ and $V_j \cap V_\ell \ni f(p)$ are open, hence there exists an open subset U' of $U_i \cap U_k$ with $p \in U'$ such that

$$(\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1})(\phi_i(U')) \subset \psi_j(V_j \cap V_\ell).$$

Moreover, we can assume that $\phi_i(U')$ is semialgebraic (it suffices to take, instead of U', the preimage of an open ball centered in $\phi_i(p)$ and contained in the original $\phi_i(U')$). In particular, since the restriction of a Nash map to an open semialgebraic set is a Nash map, the map

$$\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1} : \phi_i(U') \to \psi_j(V_j \cap V_\ell)$$

is still a Nash map. On the other hand, both change of charts

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_k^{-1} : \phi_k(U') \to \phi_i(U')$$

and

$$\psi_\ell \circ \psi_j^{-1} : \psi_j(V_j \cap V_\ell) \to \psi_\ell(V_j \cap V_\ell)$$

are Nash maps. Thus, the composition of the last three maps,

$$\psi_\ell \circ f \circ \phi_k^{-1} = (\psi_\ell \circ \psi_j^{-1}) \circ (\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1}) \circ (\phi_i \circ \phi_k^{-1}) : \phi_k(U') \to \psi_\ell(V_\ell)$$

is a Nash map, as required. □

From Proposition 2.2.(2) it is clear that the composition of locally Nash maps is a locally Nash map. We also deduce the following.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be locally Nash manifolds. Then $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is a locally Nash diffeomorphism if and only if f is both an analytic diffeomorphism and a locally Nash map.*

Proof. We show the nontrivial implication. Let $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{(V_j, \psi_j)\}_{j \in J}$ be the Nash atlases of M_1 and M_2 respectively. We have to show that $f^{-1} : M_2 \to M_1$ is a locally Nash map. Fix $p \in M_2$ and $i \in I$ such that $f^{-1}(p) \in U_i$. We have to show that there exists $j \in J$ and an open subset $V \subset V_j$ such that $p \in V$, $f^{-1}(V) \subset U_i$, $\psi_j(V)$ is semialgebraic and

$$\phi_i \circ f^{-1} \circ \psi_j^{-1} : \psi_j(V) \to \phi_i(U_i)$$

is a Nash map. Let $j \in J$ be such that $p \in V_j$. For these $f^{-1}(p) \in M_2$, i and j, since f is a locally Nash map, we can apply Proposition 2.2.(2) and get an open subset U of U_i such that $f^{-1}(p) \in U$, $f(U) \subset V_j$ and

$$\psi_j \circ f \circ \phi_i^{-1} : \phi_i(U) \to \psi_j(V_j)$$

is a Nash map. Therefore, the given j and $V := f(U)$ satisfy the required conditions once we note that the inverse of a bijective semialgebraic map is a semialgebraic map. □

2.B. Locally Nash groups. Next, we show that to describe the locally Nash structure of a locally Nash group it is enough to do it near the identity. We introduce new notations that will be useful for this purpose. Let $(G, \cdot)$ equipped with an analytic atlas $\mathcal{A}$ be an analytic group – thus a Lie group – and let (U, ϕ) be a chart of the identity of $\mathcal{A}$. From the theory of analytic groups we recall that

$$\mathcal{A}_{(U,\phi)} := \{(gU, \phi_g) \mid \phi_g : gU \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto \phi(g^{-1}u)\}_{g\in G}$$

is also an analytic atlas for $(G, \cdot)$. We will keep the notation $\mathcal{A}_{(U,\phi)}$ for this canonical atlas. In the above example, $\mathcal{A}_{(U,\phi)}$ might not be a Nash atlas for $(G, \cdot)$, but if it is so then, the locally Nash group $(G, \cdot)$ equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(U,\phi)}$ will be denoted $(G, \cdot, \phi|_U)$, see Fact 2.4 and Proposition 2.5. (The notation $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$, where $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is as mentioned in the introduction, will be justified in Section 4 once Lemma 4.2 is proved.)

Fact 2.4 ([10, Lemma 1])**.** *Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an analytic group with atlas $\mathcal{A}$. Let $(U, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a chart of the identity such that:*

- *(i) there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $U' \subset U$ such that*

  $$\phi \circ \cdot \circ (\phi^{-1}, \phi^{-1}) : \phi(U') \times \phi(U') \to \phi(U) : (x, y) \mapsto \phi(\phi^{-1}(x) \cdot \phi^{-1}(y))$$

  is a Nash map, and
- *(ii) for each $g \in G$ there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $U_g \subset U$ such that*

  $$\phi \circ \ {}^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U_g) \to \phi(U) : x \mapsto \phi(g^{-1}\phi^{-1}(x)g)$$

  is a Nash map.

Then there exists $V \subset U$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)} = \{(gV, \phi_g)\}_{g\in G}$ is a Nash atlas for $(G, \cdot)$ and hence $(G, \cdot, \phi|_V)$is a locally Nash group.

We note that when $(G, \cdot)$ is an abelian group then (ii) of Fact 2.4 is trivially satisfied. So, in this case, the proposition says that each chart of the identity satisfying (i) induces a locally Nash group structure on $(G, \cdot)$. We anticipate from Lemma 4.2 that a chart of the identity $(U, (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n))$ of $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ with its standard analytic structure satisfies (i) if and only if it admits an algebraic addition theorem, *i.e.* if for some open neighborhood of the identity $U' \subset U$

and for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exists a $P_i \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n+1}]$, $P_i \neq 0$, such that

$$P_i(\phi_1(u), \ldots, \phi_n(u), \phi_1(v), \ldots, \phi_n(v), \phi_i(u+v)) \equiv 0 \text{ on } U' \times U'.$$

Proof of Fact 2.4. Firstly, given $(U, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}$, a chart of the identity satisfying (i) and (ii), we will find $V \subset U$ such that G equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)} := \{(gV, \phi_g)\}_{g \in G}$ where

$$\phi_g : gV \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto \phi_g(u) = \phi(g^{-1}u)$$

is a locally Nash manifold (for this only (i) is needed). Then, we will check that $\cdot : G \times G \to G$ is a locally Nash map when G is equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$. Finally, we will show that ${}^{-1} : G \to G$ is a locally Nash map when G is equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$. This will complete the proof.

Since the map of (i) is continuous, there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $V \subset U'$ such that $V \cdot V \subset U'$ and $V = V^{-1}$. Moreover, we can assume that $\phi(V)$ is semialgebraic (it suffices to take the preimage of an open ball centered in ϕ of the identity and contained in the original $\phi(U)$). We show that $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$, as defined above, is a Nash atlas for G. We note that for each $g \in G$

$$(\phi_g)^{-1} : \phi(V) \to gV : x \mapsto g\phi^{-1}(x).$$

So we have to check that if $g, h \in G$ are given such that $gV \cap hV \neq \emptyset$ then

$$\phi_h \circ (\phi_g)^{-1} : \phi(V \cap g^{-1}hV) \to \phi(V \cap h^{-1}gV) : x \mapsto \phi(h^{-1}g\phi^{-1}(x))$$

is a Nash diffeomorphism. Since $V \cdot V \subset U'$ and $V = V^{-1}$, we have that $h^{-1}g \in U'$. Semialgebraic sets are closed under projections, thus we can evaluate the map of (i) at $(\phi(h^{-1}g), x)$ to deduce that

$$\phi_h \circ (\phi_g)^{-1} : \phi(U') \to \phi(U) : x \mapsto \phi(h^{-1}g\phi^{-1}(x))$$

is a Nash map. Since $\phi(h^{-1}gV)$ is the image of $\phi(V)$ by $\phi_h \circ (\phi_g)^{-1}$ and $\phi(V)$ is semialgebraic, $\phi(h^{-1}gV)$ is also semialgebraic. We note that $\phi(V \cap h^{-1}gV)$ is equal to $\phi(V) \cap \phi(h^{-1}gV)$ and hence semialgebraic. So the map

$$\phi_h \circ (\phi_g)^{-1} : \phi(V \cap g^{-1}hV) \to \phi(V \cap h^{-1}gV) : x \mapsto \phi(h^{-1}g\phi^{-1}(x))$$

is a Nash map. By symmetry, the same argument shows that $\phi_g \circ (\phi_h)^{-1}$ is also a Nash map. We recall from the theory of analytic groups that $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$ is an atlas for G. This implies that $\phi_h \circ (\phi_g)^{-1}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism and hence a Nash diffeomorphism. Therefore G equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$ is a locally Nash manifold.

Now we check that $\cdot : G \times G \to G$ is a locally Nash map when G is equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$. By Proposition 2.2.(3) it is enough to check that for each $g, h \in G$ there exist open neighborhoods of the identity $V_1, V_2 \subset V$ such that

$$\phi_{gh} \circ \cdot \circ ((\phi_g)^{-1}, (\phi_h)^{-1}) : \phi(V_1) \times \phi(V_2) \to \phi(V) : (x, y) \mapsto \phi(h^{-1}\phi^{-1}(x)h\phi^{-1}(y))$$

is a Nash map. Reasoning as in the first part of the proof and since the maps of (i) and (ii) for h are Nash, there exist open neighborhoods of the identity $V_1', V_2 \subset V$ and $V_1 \subset V_1' \cap U_h$ such that both

$$\phi \circ \cdot \circ (\phi^{-1}, \phi^{-1}) : \phi(V_1') \times \phi(V_2) \to \phi(V) : (x, y) \mapsto \phi(\phi^{-1}(x) \cdot \phi^{-1}(y))$$

and

$$\phi \circ \ {}^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(V_1) \to \phi(V_1') : x \mapsto \phi(h^{-1}\phi^{-1}(x)h)$$

are Nash maps. An adequate composition - which is also Nash - of the latter maps gives the map which was required to be Nash.

Next we show that the map

$$(*) \qquad \phi \circ^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(V) \to \phi(V) : x \mapsto \phi((\phi^{-1}(x))^{-1})$$

is Nash. Since the map of $(*)$ is analytic (because $\mathcal{A}$ is an analytic atlas for $(G, \cdot)$), it is enough to check that it is semialgebraic. Without loss of generality we may assume that ϕ of the identity is 0. We note that since the map of (i) is Nash

$$A := \{(x, y) \in \phi(V) \times \phi(V) : \phi(\phi^{-1}(x) \cdot \phi^{-1}(y)) = 0\}$$

is semialgebraic. Since each $g \in G$ has a unique inverse element and $V = V^{-1}$, it follows that

$$A = \{(x, y) \in \phi(V) \times \phi(V) : y = \phi((\phi^{-1}(x))^{-1})\}.$$

Now since the projection of a semialgebraic set is a semialgebraic set, it follows that the graph of the map $(*)$ is semialgebraic and hence the map of $(*)$ is semialgebraic, as it was required.

Now we check that ${}^{-1} : G \to G$ is a locally Nash map when G is equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$. By Proposition 2.2.(3) it is enough to prove that for each $g \in G$ there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $V_1 \subset V$ such that

$$\phi_{g^{-1}} \circ \ {}^{-1} \circ (\phi_g)^{-1} : \phi(V_1) \to \phi(V) : x \mapsto \phi(g(\phi^{-1}(x))^{-1}g^{-1})$$

is a Nash map. Reasoning again as in the first part of the proof and since the map of property (ii) for g^{-1} is Nash, there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $V_1 \subset V$ such that

$$\phi \circ \ {}^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(V_1) \to \phi(V) : x \mapsto \phi(g\phi^{-1}(x)g^{-1})$$

is a Nash map. Composing the latter map with the map in $(*)$ we obtain a Nash map, which is the map required to be Nash. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a locally Nash group equipped with a Nash atlas $\mathcal{A}$. Then, for every chart of the identity $(U, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists an open subset V of U such that $(G, \cdot)$ equipped with $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic as a locally Nash group to $(G, \cdot, \phi|_V)$.*

Proof. Firstly, we will check that (U, ϕ) satisfies (i) and (ii) of Fact 2.4. Then, by Fact 2.4, there exists $V \subset U$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$ is a Nash atlas for $(G, \cdot)$. Finally, we will show that the identity map from G equipped with $\mathcal{A}$ to G

equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$ is a locally Nash diffeomorphism, and hence an isomorphism of locally Nash groups.

Let $(U,\phi)\in\mathcal{A}$ be a chart of the identity. Since $\cdot : G\times G\to G$ is a locally Nash map when G is equipped with $\mathcal{A}$, by Proposition 2.2.(2) we deduce the following facts.

(1) There exists an open neighborhood of the identity $U'\subset U$ such that
$$\phi\circ\cdot\circ(\phi^{-1},\phi^{-1}) : \phi(U')\times\phi(U')\to\phi(U) : (x,y)\mapsto\phi(\phi^{-1}(x)\cdot\phi^{-1}(y))$$
is a Nash map. So (U,ϕ) satisfies (i) of Fact 2.4.

(2) Fix $g\in G$ and $(W_1,\psi_1),(W_2,\psi_2)\in\mathcal{A}$ coordinate neighborhoods of g and g^{-1} respectively. Then there exist open neighborhoods $W_1'\subset W_1$ and $W_2'\subset W_2$ of g and g^{-1} respectively such that
$$\begin{array}{rcrcl}\phi\circ\cdot\circ(\psi_2^{-1},\psi_1^{-1}) & : & \psi_2(W_2')\times\psi_1(W_1') & \to & \phi(U)\\ & & (z,x) & \mapsto & \phi(\psi_2^{-1}(z)\cdot\psi_1^{-1}(x))\end{array}$$
is a Nash map. Similarly, there exist open neighborhoods $U_g\subset U$ and $W_1''\subset W_1'$ of the identity and g respectively such that
$$\begin{array}{rcrcl}\psi_1\circ\cdot\circ(\phi^{-1},\psi_1^{-1}) & : & \phi(U_g)\times\psi_1(W_1'') & \to & \psi_1(W_1')\\ & & (x,y) & \mapsto & \psi_1(\phi^{-1}(x)\cdot\psi_1^{-1}(y))\end{array}$$
is a Nash map. Since semialgebraic sets are closed under projections, we can evaluate the first map at $z=\psi_2(g^{-1})$ and the second at $y=\psi_1(g)$ to obtain Nash maps again. Then, composing both maps we deduce that (U,ϕ) satisfies (ii) for g of Fact 2.4.

Hence (U,ϕ) is under the hypothesis of Fact 2.4 and therefore there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $V\subset U$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$ is a Nash atlas for $(G,\cdot)$.

Now we check that the identity map from G equipped with $\mathcal{A}$ to G equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\phi)}$ is a locally Nash diffeomorphism. By Lemma 2.3 it is enough to check that the identity map is both an analytic diffeomorphism and a locally Nash map. Since the identity map is an analytic diffeomorphism between the two analytic groups, it is enough to show that it is a locally Nash map. By definition it suffices to show that for each $g,h\in G$ with $g\in hV$ there exists $(W_1,\psi_1)\in\mathcal{A}$ with $g\in W_1$ and an open neighborhood $W_1'\subset W_1\cap hV$ of g such that ($\psi_1(W_1')$ is semialgebraic and)
$$\phi_h\circ\psi_1^{-1} : \psi_1(W_1')\to\phi(V) : x\mapsto\phi(h^{-1}\psi_1^{-1}(x))$$
is a Nash map. Let g and h be fixed with $g\in hV$. Let $(W_2,\psi_2)\in\mathcal{A}$ be a coordinate neighborhood of h^{-1}. Since $h^{-1}g\in V$ and $\cdot : G\times G\to G$ is a locally Nash map, when G is equipped with $\mathcal{A}$, there exist $(W_1,\psi_1)\in\mathcal{A}$, coordinate neighborhood of g, and open neighborhoods $W_2'\subset W_2$ and $W_1'\subset W_1$ of h^{-1} and g respectively such that $W_2'\cdot W_1'\subset V$ and
$$\begin{array}{rcrcl}\phi\circ\cdot\circ(\psi_2^{-1},\psi_1^{-1}) & : & (\psi_2(W_2'),\psi_1(W_1')) & \to & \phi(V)\\ & & (x,y) & \mapsto & \phi(\psi_2^{-1}(x)\cdot\psi_1^{-1}(y))\end{array}$$

is a Nash map. Since semialgebraic sets are closed under projections, we can evaluate the map above at $x = \psi_2(h^{-1})$ to deduce that

$$\phi_h \circ \psi_1^{-1} : \psi_1(W_1') \to \phi(V) : x \mapsto \phi(h^{-1}\psi_1^{-1}(x))$$

is a Nash map as required. □

The next proposition will provide a sufficient condition for a pure homomorphism of locally Nash groups to be a locally Nash homomorphism. Before proving it, we recall a result on semialgebraic maps giving a proof different from that in [5].

Fact 2.6 ([5, 2.4.1])**.** *Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a semialgebraic map. Then, there exists an open dense subset $V \subset U$ such that $f : V \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is Nash.*

Proof. Fix n and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $g : U \to \mathbb{R}$ has complexity $\leq d$ if there is a non-zero polynomial P in $n+1$ variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$ of total degree $\leq d$, such that $P(x, g(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in U$. We denote by $S^k(U)$ the set of all semialgebraic functions from U to $\mathbb{R}$ such that all its partial derivatives up to order k exist and are continuous. We note that by [2, Lemma 2.5.2.] for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exists a polynomial $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}]$ such that $P_i(x, f_i(x)) = 0$, for every $x \in U$. Hence there exists $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all of $f_1, \dots, f_m$ have complexity $\leq C$. By [2, Theorem 8.10.5] there exists $r = r(n, C)$ such that for every open semialgebraic subset V of $\mathbb{R}^n$, every function that belongs to $S^r(V)$ of complexity $\leq C$ is Nash. Take a C^r cell decomposition of the graph of f (see for example [20, Ch.7 §3.3]). Consider the union of all cells of dimension n and let V be its projection over $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the set V is an open dense subset of U and $f|_V$ is Nash.

□

Proposition 2.7. *Let G and H be locally Nash groups and let $f : G \to H$ be a homomorphism of pure groups. Suppose there exist charts (U, ϕ) and (V, ψ) of G and H respectively and an open subset $U' \subset U$ such that $f(U') \subset V$ and $\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U') \to \psi(V)$ is a semialgebraic map. Then f is a locally Nash homomorphism.*

Proof. Firstly, we note that by Fact 2.6 and restricting U' if necessary, we can assume that the map $\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U') \to \psi(V)$ is Nash.

Now we prove that f is a locally Nash map, provided that $U' \subset U$ and V are neighborhoods of the identity of G and H respectively. By Proposition 2.5 we can assume that the locally Nash groups G and H equipped with $\mathcal{A}_{(U,\phi)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(V,\psi)}$ are locally Nash isomorphic to the original structures. Let $g \in G$. We have that (gU, ϕ_g) and $(f(g)V, \psi_{f(g)})$ are charts of G and H respectively with $g \in gU' \subset gU$ and $f(g) \in f(g)V$. By Proposition 2.2.(3) it would be enough to show that the map

$$\psi_{f(g)} \circ f \circ \phi_g^{-1} : \phi(U') \to \psi(V)$$

is Nash. The latter is true since

$$(\psi_{f(g)} \circ f \circ \phi_g^{-1})(x) = (\psi_{f(g)} \circ f)(g\phi^{-1}(x)) =$$

$$= \psi_{f(g)}(f(g)f(\phi^{-1}(x))) = \psi(f(g)^{-1}f(g)f(\phi^{-1}(x))) = \psi(f(\phi^{-1}(x)))$$

and $\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}$ is Nash.

It remains to prove that we can assume that the relevant open sets can be taken neighborhoods of the identity. Fix $g \in U'$. Since the group operation of G is a locally Nash map, there exists a chart (U_0, ϕ_0) of G and an open neighborhood of the identity $U_0' \subset U_0$ such that $gU_0' \subset U'$ and the map

$$L_g : \phi_0(U_0') \to \phi(U') : x \mapsto \phi(g\phi_0^{-1}(x))$$

is a Nash map, in particular semialgebraic. Similarly, there exists a chart (V_0, ψ_0) of the identity of H and an open subset $V' \ni f(g)$ of V such that $f(g)^{-1}V' \subset V_0$ and

$$L_{f(g)^{-1}} : \psi(V') \to \psi_0(V_0) : x \mapsto \psi_0(f(g)^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x))$$

is a semialgebraic map. By continuity and since $(\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1} \circ L_g)(\phi_0(e)) = \psi(f(g))$, we can take U_0' small enough so that

$$(\psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1} \circ L_g)(\phi_0(U_0')) \subset \psi(V').$$

In particular the composition

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} L_{f(g)^{-1}} \circ \psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1} \circ L_g & : & \phi_0(U_0') & \to & \psi_0(V_0) \\ & & x & \mapsto & \psi_0(f(\phi_0^{-1}(x))) \end{array}$$

is a semialgebraic map, as required. ☐

Next we will characterize those isomorphisms of pure groups which are isomorphisms of locally Nash groups.

Proposition 2.8. *Let G and H be locally Nash groups equipped with atlases $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Then, a continuous isomorphism $\alpha : G \to H$ is an isomorphism of locally Nash groups if and only if there exist (for all) charts of the identity $(U, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(V, \psi) \in \mathcal{B}$ with an open neighborhood of the identity $W \subset U \cap \alpha^{-1}(V)$ such that $\psi \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\phi)$ on W.*

Proof. We first prove the right to left implication. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By hypothesis $\psi_i \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\phi)$ on W and therefore, since ϕ is a diffeomorphism, $\psi_i \circ \alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{id})$ on $\phi(W)$. Hence there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x][Y]$ such that $P(x, (\psi_i \circ \alpha \circ \phi^{-1})(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in \phi(W)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\phi(W)$ is semialgebraic. Then, by the proof of [2, Proposition 8.1.8] and since $\psi_i \circ \alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is continuous, we obtain that each coordinate function $\psi_i \circ \alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a semialgebraic function on $\phi(W)$. By Proposition 2.7 we deduce that α is a locally Nash map. Moreover, we also have that the inverse of the above map,

$$\phi \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} : \psi(\alpha(W)) \to \phi(W) \subset \phi(U),$$

is semialgebraic and therefore, again by Proposition 2.7, we deduce that α^{-1} is a locally Nash map. Thus α is a locally Nash isomorphism.

Now, we show the left to right implication. Fix charts of the identity $(U, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(V, \psi) \in \mathcal{B}$. Since α is a locally Nash map, by Proposition 2.2.(2) there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $W \subset U \cap \alpha^{-1}(V)$ such that

$$\psi \circ \alpha \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(W) \to \psi(V) : x \mapsto \psi(\alpha(\phi^{-1}(x)))$$

is a Nash map. So $\psi \circ \alpha \circ \phi^{-1}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\mathrm{id})$ on $\phi(W)$ and hence $\psi \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\phi)$ on W as required. ☐

Proposition 2.8 leads to an immediate corollary:

Corollary 2.9. *Let $(G, \cdot)$ equipped with a Nash atlas $\mathcal{A}$ be a locally Nash group. Let (U, ϕ) and (V, ψ) be charts of the identity of $\mathcal{A}$. If $(G, \cdot, \phi|_U)$ and $(G, \cdot, \psi|_V)$ are locally Nash groups then they are locally Nash isomorphic.*

Proof. Since (U, ϕ) and (V, ψ) are charts that are Nash compatible,

$$\psi \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U \cap V) \to \psi(U \cap V) : x \mapsto \psi \circ \phi^{-1}(x)$$

is semialgebraic. So ψ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\phi)$ on $U \cap V$. Now apply Proposition 2.8 taking α as the identity map. ☐

As a special case of Corollary 2.9, we have that if there exists neighborhoods of the identity U and V such that $(G, \cdot, \phi|_U)$ and $(G, \cdot, \phi|_V)$ are locally Nash groups then both are locally Nash isomorphic.

3. Algebraic Addition Theorems.

In this section we review the principal properties of algebraic addition theorems which can be found in the literature and we prove some new ones, in particular Theorem 3.12. Since most of the main references on this concept are outdated, we include proofs with modern notation. We will work with power series instead of with germs of analytic functions. There are two good reasons to do this. The first one is that most of the classical sources about algebraic addition theorems follow this line. The second one is that working in an algebraic context we can remark that some calculations are formal. We do not want to think in terms of germs and meromorphic functions yet, this will be done in the next section, where we will extend our results to meromorphic and real meromorphic functions.

Firstly, we introduce the notation for the power series. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Let $A_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be the ring of all power series in n variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ that are convergent in a neighborhood of the origin. We recall that $A_{\mathbb{K},n}$ is an integral domain. Let $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be the quotient field of $A_{\mathbb{K},n}$. For each $\epsilon > 0$ we denote $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ the open ball $\{k \in \mathbb{K}^n : \|k\| < \epsilon\}$. Since we will only consider convergence over open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n$, we denote $U_n(\epsilon)$ the open ball $U_{\mathbb{C},n}(\epsilon)$.

Thus, we say $(\phi_1,\dots,\phi_m)\in M^m_{\mathbb{K},n}$ is *convergent* in $U_n(\epsilon)$ if each $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_m$ is the quotient of two power series convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$.

Let us recall the relation between power series and analytic functions (see [6] and [12] for basic notions of complex and real analytic geometry respectively). Let $U\subset\mathbb{K}^n$ be an open connected neighborhood of 0. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U)$ the ring of all analytic functions in U and by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K},n}$ the ring of germs of analytic functions at 0. For each f in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U)$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K},n}$ we denote by ${}^tf$ its Taylor power series expansion at 0. The map

$$^a : A_{\mathbb{K},n}\to\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K},n} : \phi\mapsto {}^a\phi$$

that assigns to each ϕ the germ of the analytic function

$$^a\phi : U_\phi\to\mathbb{K} : k\mapsto\phi(k)$$

where $U_\phi\subset\mathbb{K}^n$ is an open neighborhood of 0 where ϕ converges, is an isomorphism of rings whose inverse is given by the Taylor power series expansions at 0.

On the other hand, by means of the identity principle for analytic functions, both $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K},n}$ are integral domains. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}$ their respectives quotient fields. The maps ^{a} and ^{t} are naturally defined for these quotients fields and give us also an isomorphism of $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}$. Similarly, we define ^{a} and ^{t} for tuples.

Remark 3.1. A meromorphic function on U is a global section of the sheaf over U whose stalk in $x\in U$ is the quotient field of the germs of analytic functions in x. In other words, a meromorphic function on U is given by an open covering $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$ of U and a collection of analytic functions $h_j, g_j : U_j\to\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$g_j\cdot h_\ell = g_\ell\cdot h_j \qquad \text{in } U_j\cap U_\ell.$$

Although clearly the elements of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U)$ are meromorphic functions, the converse is not necessarily true. The problem of determining whether or not the converse holds for a certain U is known as the Poincaré problem. For example, it holds if $U=\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [6, Ch. VIII, §B, Corollary 10]).

Now we give a more precise formulation of algebraic addition theorem.

Notation 3.2. Let $\phi:=(\phi_1,\dots,\phi_m)\in M^m_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$, let $k\in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ and let $(u,v):=(u_1,\dots,u_n,v_1,\dots,v_n)$ be $2n$ variables. We will use the following notation:

(1) $\phi_{(u,v)}:=\big(\phi_1(u),\dots,\phi_m(u),\phi_1(v),\dots,\phi_m(v)\big)\in M^{2m}_{\mathbb{K},2n}$.
(2) $\phi_{u+v}:=\big(\phi_1(u+v),\dots,\phi_m(u+v)\big)\in M^m_{\mathbb{K},2n}$.
(3) $\phi_{u+k}:=\big(\phi_1(u+k),\dots,\phi_m(u+k)\big)\in M^m_{\mathbb{K},n}$.

Given $\phi\in M^n_{\mathbb{K},\ell}$ and $\psi\in M^m_{\mathbb{K},\ell}$ we say that ϕ is *algebraic* over $\mathbb{K}(\psi):=\mathbb{K}(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_m)$ if $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n$ are algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$.

Definition 3.3. We say $\phi \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admits an *algebraic addition theorem (AAT)* if $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ and ϕ_{u+v} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u,v)})$.

The rest of the section is divided as follows. Firstly, we will adapt some technical lemmas of [3] and [19] to our context to prove some separated rationality result (Proposition 3.7), these results will be needed for proving Theorem 3.12. Secondly, we will prove some properties of elements of $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admitting an AAT and Theorem 3.12. Finally, we will prove some properties of differentials that will be needed for proving Theorem 4.3 in Section 4, when we consider AAT related to charts of the identity of locally Nash groups.

3.A. A separate rationality result. We begin with some technical lemmas. Let $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_p)$ and $v = (u_1, \ldots, u_q)$ be variables and let $\phi \in M^m_{\mathbb{K},p+q}$ be convergent in $U_{p+q}(\epsilon)$, that is, $\phi(u, v) = \frac{\alpha(u,v)}{\beta(u,v)}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K},p+q}$ convergent in $U_{p+q}(\epsilon)$. Given a point $k \in \mathbb{K}^q$ we write $\phi(u, k) \in M_{\mathbb{K},p}$ if $\beta(u, k) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\phi := (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_m) \in M^m_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p + q = n$.*

1. *There exists an open dense subset U of $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ such that*
$$^a\phi : U \to \mathbb{K}^m : k \mapsto \phi(k)$$
is an analytic function.
2. *There exists an open dense subset V of $U_{\mathbb{K},q}(\epsilon)$ such that*
$$V \subset \{k \in U_{\mathbb{K},q}(\epsilon) : \phi(u, k) \in M^m_{\mathbb{K},p}\}.$$
3. *If there exists an open subset W of $U_{\mathbb{K},q}(\epsilon)$ such that*
$$W \subset \{a \in U_{\mathbb{K},q}(\epsilon) : \phi(u, a) \in M_{\mathbb{K},p} \text{ and } \phi(u, a) = 0\}$$
then $\phi = 0$.

Proof. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ let $\alpha_i, \alpha_{m+i} \in A_{\mathbb{K},n}$, $\alpha_{m+i} \neq 0$, such that $\phi_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{m+i}}$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2m\}$ let $^a\alpha_i : U_n(\epsilon) \to \mathbb{K} : k \mapsto \alpha_i(k)$.

For the first property we note that since $^a\alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, ^a\alpha_{2m}$ are analytic in $U_n(\epsilon)$ and not identically zero, the set
$$U := \{k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon) : \alpha_{m+1}(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_{2m}(k) \neq 0\}$$
is an open dense subset of $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ by the identity principle.

For the second property we may project and take the open set $V := \pi(U)$.

For the third property we note that since $^a\alpha_1, \ldots, ^a\alpha_m$ are analytic in U and identically zero in $\{(a, b) \in U : b \in W\}$, they are identically zero in an open subset of U. So $\alpha_1 = \ldots = \alpha_m = 0$. □

We anticipate that in the next lemma the hypothesis that $f(u,v) \in M_{\mathbb{K},2n}$ is convergent in $\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} : a+b \in U_n(\epsilon)\}$ can be weakened to be convergent in some open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ containing $U_n(\epsilon) \times \{0\}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\phi \in M^m_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m$ algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $f(u,v) \in M_{\mathbb{K},2n}$ be convergent in $\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} : a+b \in U_n(\epsilon)\}$ and $f(u,k) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ for all $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$. If $f(u,v)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u,v)})$ then $f(u,k)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi(u))$ for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$. Furthermore, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \leq N$ such that for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$, the minimal polynomial of $f(u,k)$ over $\mathbb{K}(\phi(u))$ can be written in the form*

$$Y^h + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{R_i(\phi)}{S_i(\phi)} Y^i \quad R_i, S_i \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_m]^{\leq N}, S_i \neq 0,$$

where $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_m]^{\leq N}$ denotes the polynomials of $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ whose degree in each of the variables $X_1, \dots, X_m$ is bounded by N.

Proof. We could try to evaluate the minimal polynomial of $f(u,v)$ over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u,v)})$ at k but we may have problems if any of the denominators becomes the zero polynomial in $\mathbb{K}(\phi(u))$. So we are going to modify the original polynomial while keeping the original degree.

Since $f(u,v)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u,v)})$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m$ algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$, there exists $P \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_{2m}][Y]$ such that $P(\phi_{(u,v)}; Y) \neq 0$ and $P(\phi_{(u,v)}; f(u,v)) = 0$. Hence, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_{2m}; Y) = \sum_{j,\mu,\nu \leq N} a_{j,\mu,\nu}\, X_1^{\mu_1} \dots X_m^{\mu_m} X_{m+1}^{\nu_1} \dots X_{2m}^{\nu_m} Y^j,$$

with $a_{j,\mu,\nu} \in \mathbb{K}$ and where for each $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $\delta \leq N$ denotes $\delta_1 \leq N$,…,$\delta_m \leq N$. We will prove that this N is the required one in the statement of the lemma. Firstly we prove some claims.

Claim 1. *There exists an open dense subset U of $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ such that for each $k \in U$, $P(X_1, \dots, X_m, \phi(k); Y)$ is a non-zero polynomial of $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_m][Y]$.*

Proof of Claim 1. By Lemma 3.4.(1) there exists an open dense subset $W \subset U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ such that

$$W \subset \{k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon) : \phi(k) \in \mathbb{K}^m\}$$

and ${}^a\phi : W \to \mathbb{K}^m : k \mapsto \phi(k)$ is analytic. Let

$$U := \{k \in W : P(X_1, \dots, X_m, \phi(k); Y) \neq 0\}.$$

Since W is an open dense subset of $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$, to prove the claim it is enough to show that $W \setminus U$ is closed and nowhere dense in W. Clearly $W \setminus U$ is closed in W since ${}^a\phi$ is continuous in W. For the density, we note that if $W \setminus U$ contains an open subset of W then

$$\{k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon) : P(\phi(u), \phi(k); Y) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n+1} \text{ and } P(\phi(u), \phi(k); Y) = 0\}$$

contains an open subset of $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ and therefore $P(\phi_{(u,v)}; Y) = 0$ by Lemma 3.4.(3). This finishes the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 2. *For each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ there exists $Q_k \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_m][Y]$ such that $Q_k(\phi(u); Y) \neq 0$, $Q_k(\phi(u); f(u,k)) = 0$ and Q_k is a sum of monomials of the form*

$$a\, X_1^{\mu_1} \dots X_m^{\mu_m} Y^j, \quad a \in \mathbb{K},\ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \leq N,\ j \leq N.$$

Proof of Claim 2. We follow the proof of [3, Chap. IX. §5. Theorem 5]. For each $k \in W$, where W is as in the proof of Claim 1, let

$$P_k(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y) = \sum_{j,\mu \leq N} d_{j,\mu,k}\ X_1^{\mu_1} \dots X_m^{\mu_m} Y^j$$

denote the polynomial $P(X_1, \dots, X_m, \phi(k); Y)$. By Claim 1 there exists an open dense subset U of $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ where $P_k \neq 0$ for all $k \in U$. For each $k \in U$, we define

$$E(P_k) := \sum_{j,\mu \leq N} \|d_{j,\mu,k}\|^2.$$

We note that $E(P_k) > 0$ for all $k \in U$. For each $k \in U$, let

$$Q_k(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y) := \sum_{j,\mu \leq N} b_{j,\mu,k}\ X_1^{\mu_1} \dots X_m^{\mu_m} Y^j,$$

where

$$b_{j,\mu,k} := \frac{d_{j,\mu,k}}{\sqrt{E(P_k)}}.$$

So, we have, for each $k \in U$, $Q_k(\phi(u); Y) \neq 0$, $Q_k(\phi(u); f(u,k)) = 0$ and $E(Q_k) = 1$. We define

$$\vec{v}(k) := (b_{j,\mu,k})_{j,\mu \leq N} \in \{z \in \mathbb{K}^{(N+1)^{(m+1)}} : \|z\| = 1\}.$$

Take $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon) \setminus U$. Since U is an open dense subset of $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$, there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{k_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U$ that converges to k. For each k_r, the identity $Q_{k_r}(\phi(u); f(u,k_r)) = 0$ holds, therefore

$$\sum_{j,\mu \leq N} b_{j,\mu,k_r} \phi_1(u)^{\mu_1} \dots \phi_m(u)^{\mu_m} f(u,k_r)^j = 0.$$

By hypothesis there are $\alpha, \beta \in A_{\mathbb{K},2n}$, $\beta \neq 0$, convergent in $\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{K}^{2n} : a + b \in U_n(\epsilon)\}$, such that $f(u,v) = \frac{\alpha(u,v)}{\beta(u,v)}$. In particular

$$(*) \qquad \sum_{j,\mu \leq N} b_{j,\mu,k_r} \phi_1(u)^{\mu_1} \dots \phi_m(u)^{\mu_m} \alpha(u,k_r)^j \beta(u,k_r)^{N-j} = 0.$$

Since $\{z \in \mathbb{K}^{(N+1)^{(m+1)}} : \|z\| = 1\}$ is compact, taking an adequate subsequence we can assume that the limit of the sequence $\{\vec{v}(k_r)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ exists. For each $j, \mu \leq N$ we define

$$b_{j,\mu,k} := \lim_{s \to \infty} b_{j,\mu,k_r}.$$

Since α and β is continuous, when r tends to infinity equation $(*)$ becomes

$$\sum_{j,\mu \leq N} b_{j,\mu,k} \phi_1(u)^{\mu_1} \dots \phi_m(u)^{\mu_m} \alpha(u,k)^j \beta(u,k)^{N-j} = 0.$$

So dividing by $\beta(u,k)^N$, we also have

$$\sum_{j,\mu\le N} b_{j,\mu,k}\phi_1(u)^{\mu_1}\dots\phi_m(u)^{\mu_m} f(u,k)^j = 0$$

and hence the polynomial

$$Q_k(X_1,\dots,X_{m+1};Y) := \sum_{j,\mu\le N} b_{j,\mu,k}X_1^{\mu_1}\dots X_m^{\mu_m}Y^j$$

satisfies $Q_k(\phi(u), f(u,k)) = 0$. We note that $E(Q_k) = \lim_{r\to\infty} E(Q_{k_r}) = 1$, so $Q_k \neq 0$. Since $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_m$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ and $Q_k(X_1,\dots,X_m,Y) \neq 0$ then $Q_k(\phi(u),Y) \neq 0$. This finishes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 2 implies that $f(u,k)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi(u))$ for all $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$. It remains to check the conditions on N and on the minimal polynomials. Fix $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$. Let $A(Y) := Q_k(\phi(u);Y)$, where Q_k is the polynomial of Claim 2. By definition of Q_k, in the proof of Claim 2, we have

$$A(Y) = A_dY^d + \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} A_jY^j, \quad A_0,\dots,A_d \in \mathbb{K}[\phi(u)],\ A_d \neq 0,\ d \le N$$

where each of A_0,…,A_d is a sum of monomials of the form

$$a\,\phi_1(u)^{\mu_1}\dots\phi_m(u)^{\mu_m}, \quad a \in \mathbb{K},\ 0 \le \mu_1,\dots\mu_m \le N.$$

Let

$$B(Y) = Y^e + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{e-1} B_jY^j}{B_e}, \quad B_0,\dots,B_e \in \mathbb{K}[\phi(u)]$$

be the minimal polynomial of $f(u,k)$ over $\mathbb{K}(\phi(u))$. Since $f(u,k)$ is both a root of $A(Y)$ and of $B(Y)$, there exists

$$C(Y) = C_\ell Y^\ell + \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} C_jY^j, \quad C_0,\dots,C_\ell \in \mathbb{K}[\phi(u)]$$

such that $A(Y) = B(Y)\,C(Y)$. Therefore

$$B_e\left(A_dY^d + \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} A_jY^j\right) = \left(B_eY^e + \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} B_jY^j\right)\left(C_\ell Y^\ell + \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} C_jY^j\right).$$

We note that $\mathbb{K}[\phi(u)] \cong \mathbb{K}[X_1,\dots,X_m]$ because $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_m$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Since $\mathbb{K}[\phi(u)][Y]$ is an UFD and $B(Y)$ is irreducible,

$$B_eY^e + \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} B_jY^j \text{ divides } A_dY^d + \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} A_jY^j \text{ in } \mathbb{K}[\phi(u)][Y].$$

This implies that each of B_0,…,B_e is a sum of monomials of the form

$$a\,\phi_1(u)^{\mu_1}\dots\phi_m(u)^{\mu_m}, \quad a \in \mathbb{K},\ 0 \le \mu_1,\dots\mu_m \le N.$$

This proves the statement for $f(u,k)$. Since k was fixed, we are done. □

In Proposition 3.7 we will adapt to our setting a well known result that says that a complex analytic function $f(u,v)$ that is rational in u, for each fixed v, and rational in v, for each fixed u, belongs to $\mathbb{C}(u,v)$; see [3, Chap. IX. §5. Theorem 5] for details. We begin with a lemma based on [19, Chap. 5. §13. Theorem 1] that we include for completeness.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $\Psi := (\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_n) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}^{n+1}$ *be convergent in* $U_n(\epsilon)$. *Let* $\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_n$ *be algebraically independent over* $\mathbb{K}$ *and let* ψ_0 *be algebraic over* $\mathbb{K}(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_n)$. *Let* $\psi := (\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_n)$ *and let* h *be the degree of the minimal polynomial of* ψ_0 *over* $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$. *Let* $f(u,v) \in M_{\mathbb{K},2n}$ *be convergent in* $U_{2n}(\epsilon)$. *If there exists* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for each* $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ *we have that* $f(k,v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(v))$ *and there are* $R_i, S_i \in \mathbb{K}[X_1,\ldots,X_n]^{\leq N}$, $S_i \neq 0$, *such that*

$$f(u,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{R_i(\psi(u))}{S_i(\psi(u))}\psi_0(u)^i$$

then $f(u,v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi_{(u,v)})$.

Proof. We denote by $H_1(u,v),\ldots,H_m(u,v)$ the monomials

$$\psi_1(u)^{\alpha_1}\ldots\psi_n(u)^{\alpha_n}f(u,v), \quad \psi_0(u)^{\alpha_0}\psi_1(u)^{\alpha_1}\ldots\psi_n(u)^{\alpha_n},$$

with $0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq h-1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n \leq hN$ (so $m := (h+1)(hN+1)^n$).

Firstly we show that if there exists an equation of the form

$$\xi_1(v)H_1(u,v) + \ldots + \xi_m(v)H_m(u,v) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\xi_1(v),\ldots,\xi_m(v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(v))$ and not all of them are 0 then we are done. We may assume that there exists $\ell < m$ such that $f(u,v)$ appears in the monomial $H_i(u,v)$ if and only if $i \leq \ell$. If $\xi_i(v) \neq 0$ for some $i \leq \ell$ then we are done. Indeed, since $\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$, we can solve equation (1) with respect to $f(u,v)$ to deduce that $f(u,v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi_{(u,v)})$. So it is enough to show that $\xi_i(v) \neq 0$ for some $i \leq \ell$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\xi_i(v) = 0$ for all $i \leq \ell$. Since not all $\xi_1(v),\ldots,\xi_m(v)$ are 0 we may assume that $\xi_{\ell+1}(v) \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.4.(1) there exists $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ such that $\xi_1(k),\ldots,\xi_m(k) \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\xi_{\ell+1}(k) \neq 0$. We note that $H_{\ell+1}(u,v),\ldots,H_m(u,v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(u))$. Since they do not depend on v, we denote them by $H_i(u)$. Evaluating equation (1) at $v = k$ we obtain that

$$\xi_{\ell+1}(k)H_{\ell+1}(u) + \ldots + \xi_m(k)H_m(u) = 0$$

where $\xi_{\ell+1}(k) \neq 0$. Since the degree of each $H_i(u)$ in the variable $\psi_0(u)$ is smaller than that of the minimal polynomial of ψ_0 over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$, we must have $\xi_{\ell+1}(k) = \ldots = \xi_m(k) = 0$, a contradiction.

We now show how to obtain equation (1). If $f(u,k) = 0$ for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ then $f(u,v) = 0$ by Lemma 3.4.(3) and there is nothing to prove. So we may assume that there exists $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ such that $f(u,k) \neq 0$. By hypothesis for this k there are $R_i, S_i \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\leq N}$, $S_i \neq 0$, such that

$$f(u,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{R_i(\psi(u))}{S_i(\psi(u))} \psi_0(u)^i.$$

Clearing denominators we get

$$S(\psi(u)) f(u,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} R'_i(\psi(u))\psi_0(u)^i \tag{2}$$

where $R'_i, S \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\leq hN}$ and $S \neq 0$. We also recall that h and N do not depend on k. Now we follow [3, Chap. IX. §5. Lemma 6]. Let $u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(m)}$ be independent n-tuples of variables and let $D(v, u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(m)})$ be the determinant of

$$H(v, u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(m)}) := \begin{bmatrix} H_1(u_{(1)}, v) & H_1(u_{(2)}, v) & \dots & H_1(u_{(m)}, v) \\ H_2(u_{(1)}, v) & H_2(u_{(2)}, v) & \dots & H_2(u_{(m)}, v) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_m(u_{(1)}, v) & H_m(u_{(2)}, v) & \dots & H_m(u_{(m)}, v) \end{bmatrix}.$$

By equation (2), for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ the monomials $H_1(u,k), \dots, H_m(u,k)$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{K}$. Since

$$\{k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon) : D(k, u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(m)}) \in M_{\mathbb{K},mn} \text{ and } D(k, u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(m)}) = 0\}$$

is $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$, $D = 0$ by Lemma 3.4.(3). Expanding the determinant of H with respect to its last column, replacing $u_{(m)}$ by u and denoting $(u_{(1)}, \dots, u_{(m-1)})$ by $u_{(*)}$, we obtain a new equation of the form

$$\chi_1(v, u_{(*)}) H_1(u, v) + \dots + \chi_m(v, u_{(*)}) H_m(u, v) = 0,$$

where

$$\chi_1(v, u_{(*)}), \dots, \chi_m(v, u_{(*)}) \in \mathbb{K}\big(H_j(u_{(i)}, v) : 1 \leq i \leq m-1,\ 1 \leq j \leq m\big).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that not all the $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m$ are 0. Indeed there is a minor of D of order $\nu \in (0, m)$ that is not zero and thus we can assume that $\nu = m-1$. Now, fix $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\chi_i(v, u_{(*)}) \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 3.4.(2) there exists $a := (a_{(1)}, \dots, a_{(m-1)}) \in U_{\mathbb{K},(m-1)n}(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\chi_1(v, a), \dots, \chi_m(v, a) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n} \text{ and } \chi_i(v, a) \neq 0.$$

We note that by hypothesis $f(a_{(1)}, v), \dots, f(a_{(m-1)}, v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(v))$, therefore $\chi_1(v, a), \dots, \chi_m(v, a) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(v))$. Since $\chi_i(v, a) \neq 0$, evaluating $u_{(*)}$ at a we obtain an equation as in (1). This concludes the proof. □

With the previous lemmas we can follow the proof of [19, Chap. 5. §13. Theorem 1] and apply it to our context.

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $\Psi := (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}^{n+1}$ *be convergent in* $U_n(\epsilon)$. *Let* $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$ *be algebraically independent over* $\mathbb{K}$ *and let* ψ_0 *be algebraic over* $\mathbb{K}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$. *Let* $f(u, v) \in M_{\mathbb{K},2n}$ *be convergent in* $U_{2n}(\epsilon)$ *and algebraic over* $\mathbb{K}(\Psi_{(u,v)})$. *If for each* $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ *both* $f(u, k) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(u))$ *and* $f(k, v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(v))$ *then* $f(u, v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi_{(u,v)})$.

Proof. Let $\psi := (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n)$. Let

$$P(X) = X^h + P_1 X^{h-1} + \ldots + P_h \in \mathbb{K}(\psi)[X].$$

be the minimal polynomial of ψ_0 over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$. We note that $\mathbb{K}(\Psi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)[X]/(P(X))$. For each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ let f_k denote $f(u, k)$, then by hypothesis

$$f_k = S_{k,1}(\psi)\psi_0^{h-1} + S_{k,2}(\psi)\psi_0^{h-2} + \ldots + S_{k,h-1}(\psi)\psi_0 + S_{k,h}(\psi)$$

for some $S_{k,1}, \ldots, S_{k,h} \in \mathbb{K}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. By Lemma 3.6 we only need to check that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ each of $S_{k,1}, \ldots, S_{k,h}$ is the quotient of two polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^{\leq N}$.

Fix $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$. Let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_h$ be the h roots of $P(X)$. For each α algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ let $\sigma(\alpha)$ denote its trace, hence $\sigma(\psi_0) = \xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_h \in \mathbb{K}(\psi)$. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ we define

$$f_k^{(i)} := S_{k,1}(\psi)\xi_i^{h-1} + S_{k,2}(\psi)\xi_i^{h-2} + \ldots + S_{k,h-1}(\psi)\xi_i + S_{k,h}(\psi).$$

Let

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1^{h-1} & \xi_2^{h-1} & \ldots & \xi_h^{h-1} \\ \xi_1^{h-2} & \xi_2^{h-2} & \ldots & \xi_h^{h-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \ldots & \xi_h \\ 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

An easy computation shows that $LL^t = \left[\sigma(\psi_0^{2h-i-j})\right]$ and so its coefficients belong to $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$. Since $det(LL^t) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq h}(\xi_i - \xi_j)^2$ and $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ is separable, LL^t is invertible. We note that $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ because $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Hence in an abuse of notation we identify each $S_{k,i}$ with $S_{k,i}(\psi)$. With this convention,

$$\left[f_k^{(1)}, f_k^{(2)}, \ldots, f_k^{(h)}\right] = \left[S_{k,1}, S_{k,2}, \ldots, S_{k,h}\right] L$$

and $\sigma(f_k\psi_0^j) = \sum_{i=1}^h f_k^{(i)}\xi_i^j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, so

$$\left[S_{k,1}, S_{k,2}, \ldots, S_{k,h}\right] = \left[\sigma(f_k\psi_0^{h-1}), \sigma(f_k\psi_0^{h-2}), \ldots, \sigma(f_k\psi_0), \sigma(f_k)\right] (LL^t)^{-1}.$$

Since L does not depend on k, it is enough to show that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ each $\sigma(f_k\psi_0^{h-1}), \ldots, \sigma(f_k)$ can be written in the form $A(\psi)/B(\psi)$ for some $A, B \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]^{\leq N}$ and $B \neq 0$.

Now, we fix $j \in \{0, \ldots, h-1\}$ and $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ and we check the statement above for $\sigma(f_k\psi_0^j)$. Since ψ_0 is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$, by hypothesis both $f(u, v)$ and $\psi_0(u)$ are algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi_{(u,v)})$. Now we apply Lemma 3.5 to $f(u, v)\psi_0(u)^j$ to deduce that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ the minimal polynomial of $f_k\psi_0^j$ over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ can be written in the form

$$Y^h + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \frac{A_i(\psi)}{B_i(\psi)} Y^i$$

for some $A_i, B_i \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\leq N}$ and $B_i \neq 0$. Finally, since k was fixed and $\sigma(f_k \psi_0^j) = -A_{h-1}(\psi)/B_{h-1}(\psi)$, we are done. $\square$

3.B. Some AAT results and the proof of Theorem 3.12. Next, we show additional properties for those elements of $M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ that admit an AAT. We begin with a corollary of Lemma 3.5. We shall use the notation introduced in Notation 3.2.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\phi \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$. If ϕ admits an AAT then ϕ_{u+k} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$ for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$.*

Proof. Let $f(u, v) := \phi(u + v)$. Since ϕ admits an AAT and $\phi_{u+k} = f(u, k) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ for all $k \in U_n(\epsilon)$, we are under the hypothesis of Lemma 3.5. $\square$

Although we will not use it, the proof of Lemma 3.5 can be adapted to prove that if $\phi \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admits an AAT then the formal derivative $\partial_{u_j}\phi_i$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$ for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\phi, \psi \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ and suppose that ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$. If ϕ admits an AAT then ψ admits an AAT. The converse is also true provided $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$.*

Proof. Assume that ϕ admits an AAT, hence $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ because ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$. To check that ψ_{u+v} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi_{(u,v)})$ it is enough to show that ψ_{u+v} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+v})$, ϕ_{u+v} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u,v)})$ and $\phi_{(u,v)}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi_{(u,v)})$. The three conditions above are trivially satisfied because ϕ admits an AAT and both ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ and ψ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$.

The converse follows by symmetry because if $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ then ψ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$. $\square$

Now we adapt to our context a result of AAT due to H.A.Schwarz, see [7, Chap. XXI. Art. 389] for details.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\phi \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ be convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$ and admitting an AAT. Then there exist a finite subset $\mathcal{D} \subset U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$, $0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon]$ such that each element of $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+d} : d \in \mathcal{D})$ is convergent in $U_n(2\epsilon')$, and there exist $A_0, \dots, A_N \in \mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u+d,v+d)} : d \in \mathcal{D})$ convergent in $U_{2n}(2\epsilon')$ such that ϕ_{u+v} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(A_0, \dots, A_N)$ and for each $\ell \in \{0, \dots, N\}$*

$$(\dagger) \qquad A_\ell(u, v) = A_\ell(u + k, v - k) \text{ for all } k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon').$$

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_0 := \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{K}_0 := \mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u,v)})$. Let

$$P_0(X) = X^{N_0+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{N_0} A_{0,\ell}(u, v)X^\ell$$

be the minimal polynomial of $\phi_i(u+v)$ over $\mathbb{K}_0$. If each $A_{0,\ell}$ satisfies property $(\dagger)$ for $\epsilon' = 2^{-1}\epsilon$ then we are done for this i letting $\epsilon' := 2^{-1}\epsilon$, $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{S}_0$ and

$A_\ell := A_{0,\ell}$ for each $0 \leq \ell \leq N_0$. Otherwise, there exists $k_1 \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(2^{-1}\epsilon)$ such that

$$Q_0(X) := X^{N_0+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{N_0} A_{0,\ell}(u,v)X^\ell - X^{N_0+1} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{N_0} A_{0,\ell}(u+k_1, v-k_1)X^\ell$$

is not zero. Since $u+v = (u+k_1)+(v-k_1)$, we deduce that $\phi_i(u+v)$ is a root of $Q_0(X)$. Let $\mathcal{S}_1 := \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \{k_1, -k_1\}$ and $\mathbb{K}_1 := \mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+k,v+k} : k \in \mathcal{S}_1)$. By definition $\mathbb{K}_0 \subset \mathbb{K}_1$. Let

$$P_1(X) = X^{N_1+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{N_1} A_{1,\ell}(u,v)X^\ell$$

be the minimal polynomial of $\phi_i(u+v)$ over $\mathbb{K}_1$. We note that the elements of $\mathbb{K}_1$ are convergent in $U_{2n}(2^{-1}\epsilon)$. If each $A_{1,\ell}$ satisfies property (†) for $\epsilon' = 2^{-2}\epsilon$ then we are done for this i letting $\epsilon' := 2^{-2}\epsilon$, $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{S}_1$ and $A_\ell := A_{1,\ell}$ for each $0 \leq \ell \leq N_1$. Otherwise, we can repeat the process to obtain sets $\mathcal{S}_2$, $\mathcal{S}_3$ and so on where the set $\mathcal{S}_r$ is obtained from the set $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}$ as

$$\mathcal{S}_r := \mathcal{S}_{r-1} \cup \{k + k_r : k \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\} \cup \{k - k_r : k \in \mathcal{S}_{r-1}\}$$

for some $k_r \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(2^{-r}\epsilon)$ such that Q_{r-1} is not 0. Similarly, we obtain $\mathbb{K}_r := \mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+k,v+k} : k \in \mathcal{S}_r)$ whose elements are convergent in $U_{2n}(2^{-r}\epsilon)$. Since in the r repetition the degree of P_r is smaller than that of P_{r-1}, this process eventually stops, say at step s. Letting $\epsilon' := 2^{-s-1}\epsilon$, $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{S}_s$ and $A_\ell := A_{s,\ell}$ for each $0 \leq \ell \leq N_s$, we are done for this i. The elements $A_0, \ldots, A_{N_s}$ are convergent in $U_{2n}(2\epsilon')$ since they are elements of $\mathbb{K}_s$.

For each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) denote by ϵ'_i, $\mathcal{D}_i$ and $A^i_0, \ldots, A^i_{N_i}$ the elements ϵ', $\mathcal{D}$ and $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ previously obtained for that choice of i. To complete the proof, take $\mathcal{D} := \cup_i \mathcal{D}_i$, $\epsilon' := \min_i\{\epsilon'_i\}$, and let $\{A_0, \ldots, A_N\}$ be the union of the sets $\{A^i_0, \ldots, A^i_{N_i}\}$. □

We need one more lemma before proving Theorem 3.12.

Lemma 3.11. *Let* $\phi \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ *be convergent in* $U_n(\epsilon)$ *admitting an AAT. Then there exist* $\epsilon'' \in (0, \epsilon]$ *and* $\Psi := (\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_n) \in M^{n+1}_{\mathbb{K},n}$ *convergent in* $U_n(\epsilon'')$ *and algebraic over* $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$ *such that* $\psi := (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n)$ *admits an AAT,* ψ_0 *is algebraic over* $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ *and for each* $f \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi)$ *there exists* $\delta \in (0, \epsilon'']$ *such that for each* $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\delta)$, $f_{u+k} \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi)$ *and* f_{u+k} *is convergent in* $U_n(\epsilon'')$.

Proof. We will define a field $\mathbb{L}$ and we will check that this $\mathbb{L}$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Once this is done, we will find Ψ such that $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{K}(\Psi)$.

Let $\epsilon' \in (0, \epsilon]$, $\mathcal{D} \subset U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ and $A_0, \ldots, A_N \in \mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u+d,v+d)} : d \in \mathcal{D})$ be the ones obtained applying Lemma 3.10 to ϕ. By Lemma 3.4.(1) there exists an open dense subset $U \subset U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon')$ such that

$$U \subset \{a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon') : \phi(d+a) \in \mathbb{K}^n \text{ for all } d \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

and

$$U \subset \{a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon') : A_0(u,a), \ldots, A_N(u,a) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}\}.$$

In particular $U \subset \{a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon') : \phi(a) \in \mathbb{K}^n\}$ since $0 \in \mathcal{D}$. Since U is open there exist $b \in U$ and $\epsilon'' \in (0, \epsilon' - \|b\|]$ such that

$$V := \{a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon') : \|a - b\| < \epsilon''\} \subset U.$$

Fix such b. Then, for each $a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon'')$, each $A_\ell(u, b+a)$ is an element of $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$. We note that since each $A_\ell(u,v)$ is convergent in $U_{2n}(2\epsilon')$ and by definition of b and ϵ'', each $A_\ell(u, b+a)$ is convergent in $U_n(\epsilon')$ for each $a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon'')$. Also, since each A_ℓ satisfies the property (†) of Lemma 3.10,

$$(\dagger_b) \qquad A_\ell(u, b+a) = A_\ell(u+a, b) \text{ for all } a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon'').$$

For each $\ell \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$ we define $B_\ell(u) := A_\ell(u,b)$. Let

$$\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{K}((B_\ell)_{u+a} : a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon''), 0 \leq \ell \leq N).$$

Since for each $a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon'')$ each $A_\ell(u, b+a)$ is convergent in $U_n(\epsilon')$, by property $(\dagger_b)$ all the elements of $\mathbb{L}$ are convergent in $U_n(\epsilon')$ and in particular in $U_n(\epsilon'')$.

We are going to show that

$$\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+d} : d \in \mathcal{D})$$

and that each element of $\mathbb{L}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$. Fix $\ell \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$ and $a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon'')$. We recall from Lemma 3.10 that $A_\ell(u,v)$ is convergent in $U_{2n}(2\epsilon')$ and $A(u,v) \in \mathbb{K}(\phi_{(u+d,v+d)} : d \in \mathcal{D})$. Hence we can evaluate $A_\ell(u,v)$ at $v = b+a$ to deduce that $A_\ell(u, b+a) \in \mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+d} : d \in \mathcal{D})$. Thus, by property $(\dagger_b)$, $A_\ell(u+a, b) \in \mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+d} : d \in \mathcal{D})$. Hence, $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+d} : d \in \mathcal{D})$ and therefore, by Corollary 3.8, each element of $\mathbb{L}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$.

Next, we show that $\phi_1(u+b), \ldots, \phi_n(u+b)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ such that $P(\phi_{u+b}) = 0$. By notation $P(\phi_{u+b}(a)) = 0$ if and only if $P(\phi(a+b)) = 0$, for $a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon'')$. Hence

$$V \subset \{a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon) : P(\phi(a)) \in \mathbb{K} \text{ and } P(\phi(a)) = 0\}.$$

Since V is open in $U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$, $P(\phi) = 0$ by the identity principle. Since $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$, $P = 0$ and we are done.

Next, we show that $\mathbb{L}$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{K}$ and its transcendence degree is n. Firstly, we note that ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+b})$ because the coordinate functions of ϕ_{u+b} are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ and ϕ_{u+b} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$ by Corollary 3.8. Since ϕ_{u+v} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(A_0, \ldots, A_N)$, evaluating each $A_\ell(u,v)$ at $v = b$ we deduce that ϕ_{u+b} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(B_0, \ldots, B_N)$. Therefore, ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(B_0, \ldots, B_N)$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{K}(B_0, \ldots, B_N)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+d} : d \in \mathcal{D})$ and the latter field is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$ by Corollary 3.8. Hence the three fields have transcendence degree n over $\mathbb{K}$. Now, $\mathcal{D}$ is finite and

$$\mathbb{K}(B_0, \ldots, B_N) \subset \mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{K}(\phi_{u+d} : d \in \mathcal{D}),$$

therefore, $\mathbb{L}$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{K}$ and its transcendence degree is n.

Let $f \in \mathbb{L}$, we now check that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that such that for every $a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\delta)$, $f_{u+a} \in \mathbb{L}$ and f_{u+a} is convergent in $U_n(\epsilon'')$. Since $f \in \mathbb{L}$, there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell(1), \dots, \ell(m) \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon'')$ such that f is a rational function of $(B_{\ell(1)})_{u+a_1}, \dots, (B_{\ell(m)})_{u+a_m}$. Take $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < \epsilon'' - \max\{\|a_1\|, \dots, \|a_m\|\}$. Then, for all $a \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\delta)$, $f_{u+a} \in \mathbb{L}$ and f_{u+a} is convergent in $U_n(\epsilon'')$.

Finally, by the primitive element theorem there exist $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \mathbb{L}$ algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ and ψ_0 algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ such that $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{K}(\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$. Now, since all the elements of $\mathbb{L}$ are algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$, $\psi := (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ admits an AAT by Lemma 3.9. ☐

We now have all the ingredients to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\phi \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admitting an AAT. Then there exists $\psi := (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admitting an AAT and algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$ and $\psi_0 \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ such that*

1. *for each $f \in \mathbb{K}(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n)$ there exists $R \in \mathbb{K}(X_1, \dots, X_{2(n+1)})$ such that*

$$f(u+v) = R\big(\psi_0(u), \dots, \psi_n(u), \psi_0(v), \dots, \psi_n(v)\big),$$

2. *and each $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n$ is the quotient of two power series, both convergent in all $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof. Let $\phi := (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admitting an AAT. Take ϵ such that ϕ is convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$. Applying Lemma 3.11 we obtain $\epsilon'' \in (0, \epsilon]$ and $\Psi := (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_n) \in M^{n+1}_{\mathbb{K},n}$ as in the lemma. We next check that this Ψ satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

(1) Fix a non constant $f \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi)$. Fix $\delta \in (0, \epsilon'']$ such that $f_{u+k} \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi)$ for each $k \in U_n(\delta)$ as in Lemma 3.11. Let $\varepsilon < \delta$ and such that f_{u+v} is convergent in $U_{2n}(\varepsilon)$. It is enough to show that $f_{u+v} \in M_{\mathbb{K},2n}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\Psi_{(u,v)})$ since then we can apply Proposition 3.7 noting that both $f_{u+k} \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(u))$ and $f_{v+k} \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(v))$ for each $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\varepsilon)$. With this aim, take $g_2, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{K}(\psi)$ such that $f, g_2, \dots, g_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $g := (f, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ and we note that g is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$. Since ψ admits an AAT, g admits an AAT by Lemma 3.9. Hence g_{u+v} is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(g_{(u,v)})$ and therefore over $\mathbb{K}(\Psi_{(u,v)})$. This concludes the proof of (1).

(2) We may assume that $\psi_0 \neq 0$. Fix $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We have already shown that $\psi_i(u+v) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi_{(u,v)})$. Let $A(u,v) := \psi_i(u+v)$. By Lemma 3.11 and by reducing ϵ if necessary, we may assume that Ψ is convergent in $U_n(\epsilon)$ and $\mathbb{K}(\Psi_{u+k}) \subset \mathbb{K}(\Psi)$ for all $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$. We show that there exists $p \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$ such that

$$A(u+p, u-p) \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}.$$

Take $\alpha, \beta \in A_{\mathbb{K},2n}$, $\beta \neq 0$ such that $A(u,v) = \frac{\alpha(u,v)}{\beta(u,v)}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\beta(u+k, u-k) = 0$ for all $k \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon)$. Then

$$\beta\left(\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{a-b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} - \frac{a-b}{2}\right) = 0$$

for all $a, b \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon/2)$. So $\beta(a,b) = 0$ for all $(a,b) \in U_{\mathbb{K},n}(\epsilon/2)$ and hence $\beta = 0$, a contradiction. Then

$$\psi_i(2u) = A(u+p, u-p) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi_{u+p}(u), \Psi_{u-p}(u)) \subset \mathbb{K}(\Psi(u)).$$

By induction we deduce that

$$\psi_0(u), \ldots, \psi_n(u) \in \mathbb{K}(\Psi(2^{-N}u))$$

for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence since $\Psi(2^{-N}u)$ is convergent in $U_n(2^N\epsilon)$, Ψ is also convergent in $U_n(2^N\epsilon)$. Thus each ψ_i is a meromorphic function and therefore by Remark 3.1 it is the quotient of two power series convergent in all $\mathbb{C}^n$. $\square$

We end this section with some basic properties of differentials that we will need for the proof of Theorem 4.3. We introduce the following notation. Let $u := (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ be n variables, then for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we denote $\partial_{u_j} : A_{\mathbb{K},n} \to A_{\mathbb{K},n}$ the formal derivative in the variable u_j. As ∂_{u_j} is a derivation of $A_{\mathbb{K},n}$ it induces a derivation on $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$. Given $\phi \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ let $d\phi$ be the differential of ϕ, *i.e.* $[\partial_{u_1}\phi, \ldots, \partial_{u_n}\phi]$. We note that if ${}^a\phi$ is the germ of an analytic function at 0 then ${}^a(d\phi)$ is $\nabla\, {}^a\phi$, the gradient of ${}^a\phi$.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\phi \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}^m$ such that $d\phi_1, \ldots, d\phi_m$ are linearly independent over $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$. Then*

1. *$\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_m$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$.*
2. *If $\psi \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}^m$ and ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$ then $d\psi_1, \ldots, d\psi_m$ are linearly independent over $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_m$ are algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{K}$, then we may assume that ϕ_m is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{m-1})$. If ϕ_m is constant then $d\phi_m = 0$ and the lemma is proved, so we may assume that $\phi_m \notin \mathbb{K}$.

Let P be the minimal polynomial of ϕ_m over $\mathbb{K}(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{m-1})$. We note that $P(\phi_m)$ and $\frac{\partial P}{\partial X}(\phi_m)$ are elements of $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ and therefore

$$dP(\phi_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} g_i\, d\phi_i + \frac{\partial P}{\partial X}(\phi_m)\, d\phi_m$$

for some $g_1, \ldots, g_{m-1} \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$. Since P is the minimal polynomial of ϕ_m, $P(\phi_m) = 0$. This implies that $dP(\phi_m)$ is the vector $[0, \ldots, 0]$ of $M_{\mathbb{K},n}^n$ and there exist $h_1, \ldots, h_{m-1} \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ such that

$$d\phi_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} h_i\, d\phi_i.$$

(2) For every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we have that ϕ_i is not constant because $d\phi_i \neq 0$. Since ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\psi)$, by the proof of (1) for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there exist $g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,m} \in M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ such that

$$d\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{i,j}\, d\psi_j.$$

Therefore there exists a $m \times m$ matrix G with coefficients in $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\phi_1 \\ \vdots \\ d\phi_m \end{bmatrix} = G \begin{bmatrix} d\psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ d\psi_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ by (1), we have that ψ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(\phi)$ and hence, by symmetry, there exists a $m \times m$ matrix H with coefficients in $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ d\psi_m \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} d\phi_1 \\ \vdots \\ d\phi_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence $HG = GH = Id$, and so $d\psi_1, \dots, d\psi_m$ are linearly independent over $M_{\mathbb{K},n}$. □

4. Periods of real meromorphic maps.

This section has two different purposes. Firstly, after recalling basic definitions and properties of meromorphic and real meromorphic functions, we give functorial versions of the results in Section 3 and we prove Theorem 4.3. Secondly, we will introduce some definitions and prove some technicals lemmas related to periods of meromorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$ that will be relevant to describe Nash atlas for $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ in the next sections.

4.A. Locally Nash groups and AAT. We begin recalling some concepts of analytic and meromorphic functions of several variables. We use the definitions and notations introduced at the beginning of Section 3 and recall that the elements of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C},n}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are the meromorphic functions. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open connected neighborhood of 0. We say that an analytic function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ is a *real analytic function* if $f(\mathbb{R}^n \cap U) \subset \mathbb{R}$. A meromorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is *a real meromorphic function* if there exist real analytic functions $g, h : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, with h not identically zero, such that $f = g/h$. Real analytic and real meromorphic maps are defined in the obvious way.

Analytic functions can be characterized in terms of real analytic functions since for any analytic function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ there exist real analytic functions $\mathrm{Re}(f), \mathrm{Im}(f) : U \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \mathrm{Re}(f) + i\mathrm{Im}(f)$, and similarly for meromorphic functions. We also remind that an analytic map $f : U \to \mathbb{C}^m$ is a real analytic function if and only ${}^t f \in A^m_{\mathbb{R},n}$, and similarly for real meromorphic functions (with ${}^t f \in M^m_{\mathbb{R},n}$).

Let $U \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be an open connected neighborhood of 0. Note that $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$ if and only if ${}^t f_1, \dots, {}^t f_m$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Given $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in (\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U))^m$, we say that $f = (f_1, \dots, f_\ell) \in (\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U))^\ell$ is *algebraic* over $\mathbb{K}(g) := \mathbb{K}(g_1, \dots, g_m)$ if each f_i is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(g)$, and again this is true if and only if ${}^t f$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}({}^t g)$.

We will say $f \in (\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{K},n}(U))^n$ admits an *algebraic addition theorem* if ${}^t f \in M^n_{\mathbb{K},n}$ admits an AAT. Not every element of $M^n_{\mathbb{C},n}$ admitting an AAT comes from a meromorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ admiting an AAT. An example of this is the function $u \mapsto \sqrt{u+1}$, that although is not a meromorphic function its Taylor power series expansion at 0 admits an AAT.

Now, we rewrite Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 in terms of meromorphic functions.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be meromorphic maps such that f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(g)$.*

1. *If f admits an AAT then $f(u+a)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(f(u))$ for each $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*
2. *If f admits an AAT then g admits an AAT. In particular $f(u+a)$ admits an AAT for each $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

We recall that the only analytic structure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ is the standard one (the one given by the identity map) and that its compatible charts are given exactly by the analytic diffeomorphisms. In what follows we will use these facts without further mention. Next, we relate AAT to properties of analytic groups, as mentioned before the proof of Fact 2.4.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (U, ϕ) be a chart of the identity of $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ compatible with its standard analytic structure. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *there exists an open neighborhood of the identity $U' \subset U$ such that*
$$\phi \circ + \circ (\phi^{-1}, \phi^{-1}) : \phi(U') \times \phi(U') \to \phi(U) : (x, y) \mapsto \phi(\phi^{-1}(x) + \phi^{-1}(y))$$
is a Nash map, and therefore by Fact 2.4 there exists an open neighborhood $V \subset U$ of 0 such that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \phi|_V)$ is a locally Nash group.
2. *$\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R},n}(U)$ admits an AAT.*

Proof. (1) implies (2): By hypothesis $\phi(U')$ is semialgebraic, since it is the projection of the domain of a semialgebraic map. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. As we have mentioned in the definition of Nash map, this hypothesis implies that there exists $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_{2n+1}]$, $P_i \neq 0$, such that

$$P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, \phi_i(\phi^{-1}(x) + \phi^{-1}(y))) \equiv 0 \text{ on } \phi(U') \times \phi(U')$$

where $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Since ϕ is a diffeomorphism, letting $u := \phi^{-1}(x)$ and $v := \phi^{-1}(y)$ we deduce that

$$P_i(\phi_1(u), \dots, \phi_n(u), \phi_1(v), \dots, \phi_n(v), \phi_i(u+v)) \equiv 0 \text{ on } U' \times U'.$$

Since ϕ is a diffeomorphism, the coordinate functions $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ are clearly algebraically independent. So ϕ admits an AAT.

(2) implies (1): Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If ϕ admits an AAT then there exists $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_{2n+1}]$, $P_i \neq 0$, such that

$$P_i(\phi_1(u), \dots, \phi_n(u), \phi_1(v), \dots, \phi_n(v), \phi_i(u+v)) \equiv 0 \text{ on } U' \times U'$$

for some open neighborhood of the identity $U' \subset U$. Since ϕ is a diffeomorphism we can let $x := \phi(u)$ and $y := \phi(v)$ and argue as before once we shrink U to make it semialgebraic. □

We can now justify the notation of $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ given in the introduction for a locally Nash group structure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$. Indeed, if $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real meromorphic map such that

1) f is real meromorphic and admits an AAT, and
2) there exist $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 such that

$$\psi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto \psi(u) := f(u+k)$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism,

then by Lemma 4.2 there exists an open neighborhood $V \subset U$ of 0 such that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi|_V)$ is a locally Nash group. Note that f satisfies 1) and 2) here if and only if it satisfies 1) and 2) in the introduction.

It remains to check that the locally Nash group structure is independent of k and the domains U and V, that is, we have to show that given a real meromorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ admitting an AAT and given $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\psi_1 : U_1 \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto f(u+k_1), \quad \psi_2 : U_2 \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto f(u+k_2),$$

satisfy conditions 2) above, we have that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi_1|_{V_1})$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi_2|_{V_2})$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups (where $V_1 \subset U_1$ and $V_2 \subset U_2$ are given by Lemma 4.2). By Lemma 4.1.(1), ψ_1 is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\psi_2)$. Since both ψ_1 and ψ_2 are real analytic maps, ψ_1 is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\psi_2)$ on some neighborhood of 0 and hence by Proposition 2.8 the identity map is a locally Nash isomorphism between $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi_1|_{V_1})$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi_2|_{V_2})$.

Henceforth when we write $(\mathbb{R}^n, +f)$ *where* $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ *is a real meromorphic function that admits an AAT, we are also assuming that* f *satisfies property 2) above.*

This convention is useful since now we can denote by $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{<1,i>}(x))$ the locally Nash group $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{<1,i>}(x+a)|_U)$ where U is a sufficiently small neighborhood of the identity and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is also sufficiently small. We note that without this convention the notation $(\mathbb{R},+,\wp_{<1,i>}(x))$ would not make sense, since the map $\wp_{<1,i>}(x)$ is not even a local diffeomorphism at 0.

Now we are ready to prove one of the main results of the paper.

Theorem 4.3. *Every simply connected n-dimensional abelian locally Nash group is locally Nash isomorphic to some $(\mathbb{R}^n,+,f)$, where $f:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n$ is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT.*

Proof. Let $(G,\cdot)$ be a simply connected n-dimensional abelian locally Nash group equipped with a Nash atlas $\mathcal{B}:=\{(W_i,\phi_i)\}_{i\in I}$. In particular G is an analytic group with this atlas and therefore there exists an isomorphism of analytic groups

$$\rho:(G,\cdot)\to(\mathbb{R}^n,+),$$

where $(\mathbb{R}^n,+)$ is equipped with its unique analytic group structure, the standard one (see e.g. [1, 2.19]). Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a Nash atlas for $(G,\cdot)$ we have that

$$\mathcal{A}:=\{\rho(W_i),\phi_i\circ\rho^{-1}\}_{i\in I}$$

is a Nash atlas for $(\mathbb{R}^n,+)$ compatible with its standard analytic structure. Moreover, $(G,\cdot)$ equipped with $\mathcal{B}$ is clearly locally Nash isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^n,+)$ equipped with $\mathcal{A}$.

Now, consider a chart of the identity $(U,\phi)\in\mathcal{A}$. Firstly, note that as analytic chart (U,ϕ) must be compatible with the standard analytic structure of $(\mathbb{R}^n,+)$ and hence ϕ is an analytic diffeomorphism. Also, being a chart of a locally Nash group structure, it satisfies condition (1) of Lemma 4.2 and hence ϕ admits an AAT. Now, we apply Theorem 3.12 to ${}^t\phi$, the power series expansion of ϕ at 0, to obtain $\psi:=(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_n)\in M^n_{\mathbb{R},n}$ convergent in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and admitting an AAT such that ${}^t\phi$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\psi)$. Note that since ϕ is an analytic diffeomorphism,

$$\phi_*:T_0U\to T_{\phi(0)}\phi(U)$$

is an isomorphism of vectorial spaces. Hence $d({}^t\phi_1),\ldots,d({}^t\phi_n)$ are linearly independent over $M_{\mathbb{R},n}$. In particular, by Lemma 3.13.(2), $d\psi_1,\ldots,d\psi_n$ are also linearly independent over $M_{\mathbb{R},n}$.

Consider the real meromorphic function

$$f:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^n:u\mapsto f(u):={}^a\psi(u)$$

which admits an AAT by definition. We first show that there exists $c\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and an open neighborhood $U'\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 such that

$$\varphi:U'\to\mathbb{R}^n:u\mapsto\varphi(u):=f(u+c)$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism onto its image, so we will have a locally Nash group structure $(\mathbb{R}^n,+,f)$ and shrinking U' if neccesary we may assume that (U',φ) is one of its charts. Indeed, by Lemma 3.4.(1) there exists an open dense subset $W\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$f|_W:W\to\mathbb{R}^n$$

is analytic. Let Δ denote the determinant of the Jacobian of $f|_W$. Since $d\psi_1, \ldots, d\psi_n$ are linearly independent over $M_{\mathbb{R},n}$, Δ is not identically zero on W and hence there exists $c \in U \cap W$ such that $\Delta(c) \neq 0$. So f is a local diffeomorphism at c and hence there exists an open neighborhood $U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of 0 such that $\varphi : U' \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto \varphi(u) := f(u+c)$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, as required.

Finally, by Proposition 4.1 we have that f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(f(u+k))$ and therefore ϕ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\varphi)$ on a sufficiently small open neighborhood of 0, so that by Proposition 2.8 the identity map from $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \phi|_U)$ to $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \varphi|_{U'})$ is a locally Nash isomorphism. $\square$

We point out that Proposition 2.8 gives a criterion to decide whether two locally Nash structures on $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ are locally Nash isomorphic.

Corollary 4.4. *Let* $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ *and* $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, g)$ *be locally Nash groups, where* $f, g : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ *are real meromorphic maps admitting an AAT. Then, they are isomorphic as locally Nash groups if and only if there exists* $\alpha \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ *such that* $g \circ \alpha$ *is algebraic over* $\mathbb{R}(f)$.

Proof. By hypothesis there exist $k_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an open neighborhood of the identity U of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ denotes $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \phi|_U)$ where

$$\phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto f(u + k_1).$$

Similarly there exist $k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an open neighborhood of the identity V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, g)$ denotes $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi|_V)$ where

$$\psi : V \to \mathbb{R}^n : u \mapsto g(u + k_2).$$

By Corollary 4.1.(1) we have that $f_{u+k_1} := f(u+k_1)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(f(u))$ and the other way around, and similarly for $g_{u+k_2} := g(u+k_2)$ and $g(u)$. In particular, for any $\alpha \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ we have that $g \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f)$ if and only if $g_{u+k_2} \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f_{u+k_1})$.

We suppose first that α is an isomorphism of locally Nash groups

$$\alpha : (\mathbb{R}^n, +, \phi|_U) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi|_V).$$

Note that $\alpha \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Applying Proposition 2.8 there exists $W \subset U \cap \alpha^{-1}(V)$ such that $\psi \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\phi)$ on W. We deduce that $g_{u+k_2} \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f_{u+k_1})$ and therefore $g \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f)$.

We show the right to left implication. Since $g \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f)$, it follows that $g_{u+k_2} \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f_{u+k_1})$. Therefore $\psi \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\psi)$ on a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0. Finally, since α is a continuous isomorphism, we apply Proposition 2.8 to α and we obtain that $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \phi|_U)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, \psi|_V)$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. $\square$

4.B. Periods of meromorphic maps. Finally, we introduce some invariants that will allow us to describe Nash atlas of $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$. Let Λ be a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. Then there exist $r \leq 2n$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \Lambda$ linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda_r.$$

We call r (the dimension of Λ as a (free) $\mathbb{Z}$-module) the rank of Λ, which is independent of the chosen basis, and denote it $rank\,\Lambda$. A discrete subgroup Λ of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ is a *lattice* if $rank\,\Lambda = 2n$. We say that a subgroup $G < (\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ is a *real subgroup* if $G = \overline{G}$. We say Λ is a *real discrete subgroup* (resp. *real lattice*) of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ if Λ is both a discrete subgroup (resp. lattice) of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ and a real subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$.

The previous concepts are related to meromorphic maps as follows. Given a meromorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$, we define the *group of periods of f* as

$$\Lambda_f := \{a \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(u) = f(u + a)\}$$

where $f(u) = f(u+a)$ means that if $f = g/h$ then $g(b)h(b+a) = h(b)g(b+a)$ for all $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Note that Λ_f is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ that may not be discrete. However, we have the following:

Lemma 4.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a meromorphic map.*

1. *If f is a local diffeomorphism at 0 then Λ_f is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$.*
2. *If f is a real meromorphic map then Λ_f is a real subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$.*
3. *If f is a real meromorphic map, $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for some open neighborhood of the identity $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the restriction of $f(u + k)$ to U is an analytic diffeomorphism then Λ_f is a real discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$.*
4. *If Λ_f is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ and $\alpha \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ then $\Lambda_{f\circ\alpha}$ is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ with $rank\,\Lambda_{f\circ\alpha} = rank\,\Lambda_f$.*

Proof. (1) Clearly Λ_f is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. Suppose for a contradiction that Λ_f is not discrete. Then there exists an infinite sequence $\{a_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of points of Λ_f that converges to some $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Take $r > 0$ such that f is injective and analytic in an open ball of radius r centered at 0, this can be done because f is a local diffeomorphism at 0. Take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|a_i - a_N\| < r$ for all $i \geq N$. Since Λ_f is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$, $a_i - a_N \in \Lambda_f$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $f(a_i - a_N) = f(0)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which contradicts that f is injective in the ball of radius r centered at 0.

(2) Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda_f$. By definition $f(u) = f(u + \lambda)$. Hence, $f(\overline{u}) = f(\overline{u} + \overline{\lambda})$ because f is a real meromorphic function. Therefore, $\overline{\lambda} \in \Lambda_f$.

(3) We may assume that $k = 0$. Let J be the determinant of the Jacobian of $f|_U$ at 0. Since $f^{-1}|_{f(U)}$ exists, $J \neq 0$. Since the determinant of the Jacobian of f at 0 is also J, it is not 0. So by the inverse mapping theorem f is a local diffeomorphism at 0. Hence by (1) and (2), Λ_f is a real discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$.

(4) Take $r \leq 2n$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \Lambda$ linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Lambda_f = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\lambda_r.$$

Then, $\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_1), \ldots, \alpha^{-1}(\lambda_r) \in \Lambda$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\Lambda_{f\circ\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_1) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_r).$$

□

By Theorem 4.3 every locally Nash group structure on $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ is of the form $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ for a certain real meromorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ admitting an AAT. In Proposition 4.8 we will show that *rank* Λ_f is an invariant of the locally Nash isomorphism class. We first prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be meromorphic maps such that Λ_f and Λ_g are discrete subgroups of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. If g is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(f)$ then there exists $a \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a\Lambda_f < \Lambda_g$, and in particular rank $\Lambda_f \leq$ rank Λ_g. Furthermore, if $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$ then rank $\Lambda_f =$ rank Λ_g.*

Proof. We prove the first clause. We may assume that $\Lambda_f \neq \{0\}$. Take $\lambda \in \Lambda_f \setminus \{0\}$ and fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $P_j(Z)$ be minimum polynomial of $g_j(u)$ over $\mathbb{C}(f(u))$. Since $\lambda \in \Lambda_f$, $P_j(g_j(u + \ell\lambda)) \equiv 0$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $P_j(Z)$ has a finite number of roots, there exist $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell_2 > \ell_1$, such that $g_j(u+\ell_1\lambda) = g_j(u+\ell_2\lambda)$. Let $a_j := \ell_2 - \ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$. Then $g_j(u) = g_j(u+a_j\lambda)$ and hence $g_j(u) = g_j(u + \ell a_j\lambda)$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let a be the least common multiple of $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. Then $g_j(u) = g_j(u + \ell a\lambda)$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, so $a\lambda \in \Lambda_g$. Let now $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m\}$ be a basis for Λ_f. Take a again be the l.c.m. of the a's such that $a\lambda_i \in \Lambda_g$. Then, for this a we have $a\lambda \in \Lambda_g$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_f$. This also shows that Λ_g contains at least *rank* Λ_f linearly independent vectors over $\mathbb{R}$ and hence *rank* $\Lambda_f \leq$ *rank* Λ_g.

The other clause follows by symmetry since if $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$ then f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(g)$. □

The next corollary of Lemma 4.6 will be useful to study Weierstrass $\wp$-functions in the context of the one-dimensional classification of locally Nash groups.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be meromorphic maps such that both Λ_f and Λ_g are discrete subgroups of $\mathbb{C}^n$. If g is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(f)$ then there exists a discrete subgroup Λ of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$ such that rank $\Lambda =$ rank Λ_f and both $\Lambda < \Lambda_f$ and $\Lambda < \Lambda_g$. Furthermore, if Λ_f is a real discrete subgroup then we can take Λ to be a real discrete subgroup.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.6 there exists $a \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a\Lambda_f < \Lambda_g$. It suffices to take $\Lambda = a\Lambda_f$. □

Proposition 4.8. *Let* $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ *and* $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, g)$ *be locally Nash groups, where* $f, g : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ *are real meromorphic maps admitting an AAT. If* $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, f)$ *and* $(\mathbb{R}^n, +, g)$ *are isomorphic as locally Nash groups then* $rank\,\Lambda_f = rank\,\Lambda_g$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.4 there exists $\alpha \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f)$. We note that by Lemma 4.5.(3) both Λ_g and Λ_f are real discrete subgroups of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. By Lemma 4.5.(4), $\Lambda_{g\circ\alpha}$ is also a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$, with $rank\,\Lambda_{g\circ\alpha} = rank\,\Lambda_g$. Now, by Lemma 4.6, $rank\,\Lambda_{g\circ\alpha} = rank\,\Lambda_f$ and hence $rank\,\Lambda_f = rank\,\Lambda_g$. ☐

Lemma 4.6 leads us to the the following definition. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a field of meromorphic functions from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}$ of transcendence degree n over $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that there exists $f := (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a transcendence basis of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and Λ_f is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. Then, by Lemma 4.6, for all $g := (g_1, \dots, g_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ is a transcendence basis of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ we have that Λ_g is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C},^n)$ with $rank\,\Lambda_g = rank\,\Lambda_f$. Hence, we introduce the following notation, that will be useful in the proof of Theorem 5.5.

Definition 4.9. Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a field of meromorphic functions from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}$ of transcendence degree n over $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that there exists $f := (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is a transcendence basis of $\mathbb{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and Λ_f is a discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. Then, we say that $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathbb{L} = m$ if $rank\,\Lambda_f = m$. Otherwise, we say that the $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* of $\mathbb{L}$ is not defined. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbb{L}_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ where each $\mathbb{L}_\gamma$ is a field of meromorphic functions from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}$ of transcendence degree n over $\mathbb{C}$. We say that $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathcal{P} = m$ if $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathbb{L}_\gamma = m$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

5. TWO-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLY CONNECTED ABELIAN LOCALLY NASH GROUPS.

In this section we will give a description of the two-dimensional simply connected abelian locally Nash groups, which is based on a theorem of Painlevé published in [16]. Since Painlevé wrote [16] in 1902, some of its notation is outdated. We proceed to introduce and clarify its notation.

For Painlevé a meromorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ admits an algebraic addition theorem if and only if the coordinate functions of f are functionally independent and f admits an AAT (in our sense). Any n functions are functionally independent if *ils ne sont liées par aucune relation identique*, see the footstep note of the first page of [16]. With this definition Painlevé is refering to the classical functional independence, see for example [14, Definition 3] for a detailed treatment. Another characterization of functional independence which will be more convenient for our purposes is the following (see [14, Proposition 1]). Let $\mathbb{K}$ be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, we say that $f_1, \dots, f_n : \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}$ are *functionally independent* if the range of $f := (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}^n$ has an interior point in $\mathbb{K}^n$. We will apply Painlevé's results to meromorphic maps associated to translations of charts of the identity of locally Nash groups, which are clearly functionally independent.

To state Painlevé's results we introduce the following notation (see also [19, Ch. 5 §6]). Recall that a meromorphic function f is *degenerate* if Λ_f is not a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let Λ be a lattice of $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$. We say a meromorphic function $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is an *abelian function corresponding to* Λ if $\Lambda_f > \Lambda$. The abelian functions corresponding to Λ form a field that we denote $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$. Clearly $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ contains degenerate functions, for example all the constants. We say $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ is *nondegenerate* if it contains at least one function that is not degenerate. We note that depending on Λ, the transcendence degree of $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ can be from 0 to n. However, $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ is nondegenerate if and only if its transcendence degree over $\mathbb{C}$ is n (see, [19, Ch. 5 §11 Theorems 5 and 6]). Given a lattice Ω of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ we will consider the Weierstrass functions $\wp_\Omega$, σ_Ω and ζ_Ω (see e.g [4, Ch.III and IV]). Recall that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sigma_\Omega(u) & = & u \prod_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}}, \left(1 - \frac{u}{\omega}\right) \exp\left(\frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{u}{\omega}\right)^2\right), \\ \zeta_\Omega(u) & = & \frac{\sigma'_\Omega(u)}{\sigma_\Omega(u)}, \\ \wp_\Omega(u) & = & -\zeta'_\Omega(u). \end{array}$$

Finally, we define the *families the Painlevé's description* as follows:

$\mathcal{P}_1 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_1 \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \,\}$, where $g_1(u, v) := (u, v)$;
$\mathcal{P}_2 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_2 \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \,\}$, where $g_2(u, v) := (e^u, v)$;
$\mathcal{P}_3 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_3 \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \,\}$, where $g_3(u, v) := (e^u, e^v)$;
$\mathcal{P}_4 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_{4,a,\Omega} \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}),\ a \in \{0, 1\},\ \Omega \text{ is a lattice of } (\mathbb{C}, +) \,\}$
  where $g_{4,a,\Lambda}(u, v) = (\wp_\Omega(u), v - a\zeta_\Omega(u))$;
$\mathcal{P}_5 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_{5,a,\Omega} \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}),\ a \in \mathbb{C},\ \Omega \text{ is a lattice of } (\mathbb{C}, +) \,\}$
  where $g_{5,a,\Omega}(u, v) = \left(\wp_\Omega(u), \frac{\sigma_\Omega(u-a)}{\sigma_\Omega(u)} e^v\right)$; and
$\mathcal{P}_6 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(\Lambda) : \Lambda \text{ is a lattice of } (\mathbb{C}^2, +),\ tr.deg._{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}(\Lambda) = 2\}$.

It can be checked that $g_{4,a,\Omega}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(g_{4,1,\Omega})$ for each $a \neq 0$, this is the reason why only $a \in \{0, 1\}$ are considered in the family $\mathcal{P}_4$. Henceforth we keep the notation g_1, g_2, g_3, $g_{4,a,\Omega}$ and $g_{5,a,\Omega}$ exclusively for these mentioned functions. In Theorem 5.5 we will show that these maps admit an AAT and therefore induce a locally Nash structure on $(\mathbb{R}^2, +)$.

Now we can state the main result of [16].

Fact 5.1 (Painlevé, [16, Main Theorem]). *If $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ are functionally independent meromorphic functions such that $f := (f_1, f_2)$ admits an AAT then there exist $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ such that $f_1(u, v)$ and $f_2(u, v)$ are algebraic over one of the fields of the family $\mathcal{P}_i$.*

In the next lemma we analyze the group of periods of the families of Painlevé's theorem. Firstly, we will list the properties of the Weierstrass σ and ζ functions that will be needed.

Fact 5.2. ([4, Ch.IV]) *Let* $\Omega := <\omega_1, \omega_2>_{\mathbb{Z}}$ *be a lattice of* $\mathbb{C}$. *Then,*

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1) & \zeta_\Omega(z+\omega_i) = \zeta_\Omega(z) + 2\zeta_\Omega(\omega_i/2) \quad \textit{for each } i \in \{1,2\}, \\ (2) & \sigma_\Omega(z+\omega_i) = -\sigma_\Omega(z)e^{2\zeta_\Omega(\frac{\omega_i}{2})(z+\frac{\omega_i}{2})} \quad \textit{for each } i \in \{1,2\}, \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $a \in \mathbb{C}$ *and* $\Omega := <\omega_1, \omega_2>_{\mathbb{Z}}$ *be a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C}, +)$. *Then,*

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1) & \Lambda_{g_1} = \{(0,0)\}, \\ (2) & \Lambda_{g_2} = <(2\pi i, 0)>_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ (3) & \Lambda_{g_3} = <(2\pi i, 0), (0, 2\pi i)>_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ (4) & \Lambda_{g_{4,a,\Omega}} = <(\omega_1, 2a\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2)), (\omega_2, 2a\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2))>_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ (5) & \Lambda_{g_{5,a,\Omega}} = <(\omega_1, 2a\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2)), (\omega_2, 2a\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2), (0, 2\pi i))>_{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. The only non trivial cases are the last two ones when $a \neq 0$. On the other hand, it is easy to check using Fact 5.2 that the above tuples are periods of the corresponding map.

We begin with the case $g_{4,a,\Omega}$. Let g denote $g_{4,a,\Omega}$ and g_1 and g_2 denote the coordinate functions of g. Fix $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda_g$. Clearly $\Lambda_g \subset \Lambda_{g_1} \cap \Lambda_{g_2}$. Since $\lambda \in \Lambda_{g_1}$, we have that $\lambda_1 \in \Omega$. Fix $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda_1 = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$. It follows from Fact 5.2.(1) that

$$(*) \qquad \zeta_\Omega(u + m\omega_1 + n\omega_2) - \zeta_\Omega(u) = 2m\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2) + 2n\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2).$$

Since $\lambda \in \Lambda_{g_2}$, we have that

$$v + \lambda_2 - a\zeta_\Omega(u + m\omega_1 + n\omega_2) = v - a\zeta_\Omega(u)$$

and hence by equation $(*)$

$$\lambda_2 = 2am\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2) + 2an\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2).$$

This means that the elements of Λ_g are of the form

$$\big(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, 2am\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2) + 2an\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2)\big)$$

with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so we are done with this case.

Now we show the case $g_{5,a,\Omega}$. Let g denote $g_{5,a,\Omega}$ and g_1 and g_2 denote the coordinate functions of g. Fix $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda_g$. Reasoning as before we get that there exists $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda_1 = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$. Moreover, again by Fact 5.2.(2) and from equation $(*)$ we get that

$$(\dagger) \qquad \frac{\sigma_\Omega(u + m\omega_1 + n\omega_2)}{\sigma_\Omega(u)} = Ce^{u(2m\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2)+2n\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2))}$$

for some constant $C \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\lambda \in \Lambda_{g_2}$, we have that

$$\frac{\sigma_\Omega(u + \lambda_1 - a)}{\sigma_\Omega(u + \lambda_1)}e^{v+\lambda_2} = \frac{\sigma_\Omega(u - a)}{\sigma_\Omega(u)}e^{v}$$

and hence

$$e^{\lambda_2} = \frac{\sigma_\Omega(u-a)}{\sigma_\Omega(u)}\frac{\sigma_\Omega(u+\lambda_1)}{\sigma_\Omega(u+\lambda_1-a)}.$$

So by equation (†) we get

$$e^{\lambda_2} = e^{2a(m\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2)+n\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2))}$$

and hence

$$\lambda_2 = 2am\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2) + 2an\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2) + 2\ell\pi i$$

for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that the elements of Λ_g are of the form

$$\big(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2, 2am\zeta_\Omega(\omega_1/2) + 2an\zeta_\Omega(\omega_2/2) + 2\ell\pi i\big)$$

with $\ell, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which concludes the proof. □

Now we study the $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* of the Painlevé's families of fields (the $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* was defined in Definition 4.9).

Proposition 5.4. *The* $\mathbb{Z}$*–ranks of the Painlevé's families are* $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathcal{P}_1 = 0$, $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathcal{P}_2 = 1$, $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathcal{P}_3 = 2$, $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathcal{P}_4 = 2$, $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathcal{P}_5 = 3$ *and* $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank* $\mathcal{P}_6 = 4$.

Proof. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Firstly, note that since $\alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 4.5.(4) the fields belonging to the same family $\mathcal{P}_i$ have the same $\mathbb{Z}$*–rank*, which is *rank* Λ_{g_i}. Then apply Lemma 5.3 to deduce that *rank* $\Lambda_{g_i} = i - 1$.

Let $i \in \{4, 5\}$. As above, it suffices to consider *rank* $\Lambda_{g_i,a,\Omega}$. By Lemma 5.3 these ranks are independent of a and Ω and hence *rank* $\Lambda_{g_i,a,\Omega} = i - 2$.

Finally, we consider the case of the abelian functions. Let Λ be a lattice of $(\mathbb{C}^2, +)$ such that $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ has transcendence degree 2 over $\mathbb{C}$. Fix a transcendence basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$ of $\mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$ and let us see that *rank*$\Lambda_f = 4$. By definition $\Lambda < \Lambda_f$ and therefore it is enough to check that Λ_f is discrete. Since tr.deg.$_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}(\Lambda) = 2$, there exist a nondegenerate meromorphic function $g \in \mathbb{C}(\Lambda)$. In particular, g is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(f)$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, if Λ_f is not discrete then Λ_g is not discrete, a contradiction. □

Finally, we consider the possible locally Nash group structures over $(\mathbb{R}^2, +)$ induced by Painlevé's description (Fact 5.1).

Theorem 5.5. *Every simply connected* n*-dimensional abelian locally Nash group is locally Nash isomorphic to one of the form* $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, f)$ *where* $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT and such that its coordinate functions are algebraic over one of the fields of the following families:*

1. $\mathcal{P}_1 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_1 \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \,\}$, *where* $g_1(u, v) = (u, v)$;
2. $\mathcal{P}_2 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_2 \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \,\}$, *where* $g_2(u, v) = (u, e^v)$;
3. $\mathcal{P}_3 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_3 \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \,\}$, *where* $g_3(u, v) = (e^u, e^v)$;
4. $\mathcal{P}_4 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_{4,a,\Omega}\circ\alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}),\ a \in \{0, 1\},\ \Omega$ *is a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C}, +) \,\}$, *where* $g_{4,a,\Omega}(u, v) = (\wp_\Omega(u), v - a\zeta_\Omega(u))$;

(5) $\mathcal{P}_5 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(g_{5,a,\Omega} \circ \alpha) : \alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}),\, a \in \mathbb{C},\, \Omega$ *is a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C}, +)\,\}$, *where* $g_{5,a,\Omega}(u, v) = \left(\wp_\Omega(u), \frac{\sigma_\Omega(u-a)}{\sigma_\Omega(u)} e^v\right)$*; and*

(6) $\mathcal{P}_6 := \{\, \mathbb{C}(\Lambda) : \Lambda$ *is a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C}^2, +)$, $tr.deg._{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}(\Lambda) = 2\}$.

Furthermore, if $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, g)$ *is a locally Nash group, where* $g : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ *is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT, and the coordinate functions of* f *and* g *are algebraic over fields of different families, then* $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, f)$ *and* $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, g)$ *are not locally Nash isomorphic.*

Even further, each of the families induce at least one locally Nash group structure on $(\mathbb{R}^2, +)$.

Proof. Firstly, we point out that g_1, g_2, g_3 clearly admit an AAT, $g_{4,a,\Omega}$ and $g_{5,a,\Omega}$ admit it by [16, Art. 16 and 19] and the fact that $g_{4,a,\Omega}$ is algebraic over $g_{4,1,\Omega}$ for all $a \neq 0$. On the other hand, any transcendence basis of a field in $\mathcal{P}_6$ satisfies an AAT by [19, Chap 5. §13].

We show that each family induce at least one locally Nash group structure on $(\mathbb{R}^2, +)$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and a real lattice Ω of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$. We recall that then $g_1, \ldots, g_{5,\alpha,\Omega}$ are real meromorphic maps, each one admitting an AAT. Also, since $\wp_\Omega$ admits an AAT, $g_6(u, v) := (\wp_\Omega(u), \wp_\Omega(v))$ is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT. Clearly g_6 is a transcendence basis of $\mathbb{C}(\Omega \times \Omega)$, so it is algebraic over a field of $\mathcal{P}_6$. Since the restriction of a translation of each of the latter maps to a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a diffeomorphism, each $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, g_1), \ldots, (\mathbb{R}^2, +, g_6)$ is a locally Nash group.

By Theorem 4.3 every simply connected n-dimensional abelian locally Nash group is locally Nash isomorphic to one of the form $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, f)$ where $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a real meromorphic map admitting an AAT. Furthermore, by Fact 5.1 there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$ and $\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{P}_i$ such that f is algebraic over $\mathbb{L}$. Let $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, g)$ be another locally Nash group and fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$ such that there exists $\mathbb{L}' \in \mathcal{P}_j$ such that g is algebraic over $\mathbb{L}'$. It is enough to show if $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, f)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^2, +, g)$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups then $i = j$. We recall that $f, g : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ are real meromorphic maps and hence, by Proposition 4.8, $rank\, \Lambda_g = rank\, \Lambda_f$. Let $r := rank\, \Lambda_f$. By Proposition 5.4 some of the cases are already solved, namely: if $r = 0$ then $i = j = 1$; if $r = 1$ then $i = j = 2$; if $r = 3$ then $i = j = 5$; and if $r = 4$ then $i = j = 6$. For the case $r = 2$, suppose for a contradiction that $i = 4$ and $j = 3$. By definition there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and a lattice Ω of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ such that f is algebraic over $\mathbb{L}_1 = \mathbb{C}(g_{4,a,\Omega} \circ \alpha_1)$ and g is algebraic over $\mathbb{L}_2 = \mathbb{C}(g_3 \circ \alpha_2)$. By Corollary 4.4 there exists $\alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g \circ \alpha$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(f)$. Since the coordinate functions of $g \circ \alpha$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$, we get that $g_3 \circ \alpha_3$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(g_{4,a,\Omega})$ for some $\alpha_3 := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Since $g_{4,a,\Omega}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_\Lambda(u), \zeta_\Lambda(u), v)$, we have that

$$\left(e^{au+bv}, e^{cu+dv}\right) \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbb{C}(\wp_\Lambda(u), \zeta_\Lambda(u), v).$$

Since $\alpha_3 \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, either $b \neq 0$ or $d \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume $b \neq 0$. We also note that e^{bv} is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(e^{au}, e^{au+bv})$, so

$$e^{bv} \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbb{C}(e^{au}, \wp_\Lambda(u), \zeta_\Lambda(u), v),$$

which means that e^{bv} is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(v)$, a contradiction. So either $i = j = 3$ or either $i = j = 4$. □

APPENDIX: ONE-DIMENSIONAL SIMPLY CONNECTED LOCALLY NASH GROUPS.

A classification of the one-dimensional simply connected locally Nash groups was given by Madden and Stanton in [10] (see also [11]). In this appendix we provide a detailed proof of such classification using the techniques we have developed for dimension 2.

Meromorphic functions from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}$ that admit an algebraic addition theorem were classified by Weierstrass, see for example [7, Ch.VII].

Fact 6.1 (Weierstrass)**.** *If $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic function that admits an AAT then there exists $\alpha \in GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ such that f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(g \circ \alpha)$, where g is either*

(I) $g(u) = u$,
(II) $g(u) = e^u$,
(III) $g(u) = \wp_\Lambda(u)$, *for some lattice* $\Lambda < (\mathbb{C}, +)$.

See e.g. [4, Ch.II] for basic properties of $\wp_\Lambda$. Note also that all functions of Fact 6.1 admit an AAT.

In Fact 6.1, we obtain that $f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(g)$, so under a suitable change of complex coordinates the meromorphic function f is algebraic either over $\mathbb{C}(id)$, $\mathbb{C}(exp)$ or $\mathbb{C}(\wp_\Lambda)$.

We begin this section with some technical lemmas. Firstly, some properties of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function.

Lemma 6.2. *Let Λ be a lattice of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$. Then, $\wp_{\overline{\Lambda}}(u) = \overline{\wp_\Lambda(\overline{u})}$. Hence, $\wp_\Lambda$ is a real meromorphic function if and only if $\Lambda = \overline{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. We note that

$$\overline{\wp_\Lambda(u)} = \overline{\frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(u-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right\}} = \frac{1}{\overline{u}^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\overline{u}-\overline{\omega})^2} - \frac{1}{\overline{\omega}^2} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\wp_\Lambda(u)} = \frac{1}{\overline{u}^2} + \sum_{\omega \in \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\overline{u}-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right\}.$$

For the second statement recall that since $\wp_\Lambda$ is a meromorphic function it is real if and only if $\overline{\wp_\Lambda(\overline{u})} = \wp_\Lambda(u)$. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let* Λ_1 *and* Λ_2 *be lattices of* $\mathbb{C}$ *such that* $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2$ *and* $[\Lambda_2 : \Lambda_1] = n$ *for some* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*. Then, there exist* $a_1, \ldots, a_n, C \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $\wp_{\Lambda_2}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \wp_{\Lambda_1}(u + a_i) + C$*.*

Proof. The lemma can be proved by direct computation, which also shows that $C = 0$. However we will prove the lemma in a different way. It is enough to show that there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\wp'_{\Lambda_2}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \wp'_{\Lambda_1}(u + a_i)$. Recall that

$$\wp'_{\Lambda_2}(u) = -2 \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda_2} \frac{1}{(u - \omega)^3}.$$

Since $[\Lambda_2 : \Lambda_1] = n$ there exist $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \Lambda_2$ such that $\Lambda_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (\Lambda_1 + a_i)$. So

$$\wp'_{\Lambda_2}(u) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda_1} \frac{1}{(u - (\omega + a_i))^3}$$

and hence $\wp'_{\Lambda_2}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \wp'_{\Lambda_1}(u - a_i)$. ☐

Lemma 6.4. *Let* Λ_1 *and* Λ_2 *be lattices of* $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ *such that* $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2$*. Then* $\wp_{\Lambda_1}$ *and* $\wp_{\Lambda_2}$ *are algebraically dependent over* $\mathbb{C}$*.*

Proof. Since both Λ_1 and Λ_2 are lattices of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$, $rank\, \Lambda_1 = rank\, \Lambda_2$, hence $[\Lambda_2 : \Lambda_1] < \infty$. Let $n = [\Lambda_2 : \Lambda_1]$. By Lemma 6.3 there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_n, C \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\wp_{\Lambda_2}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \wp_{\Lambda_1}(u + a_i) + C$. Since $\wp_{\Lambda_1}$ admits an AAT and by Corollary 4.1.(1), $\wp_{\Lambda_1}(u + a)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda_1}(u))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$. So $\wp_{\Lambda_2}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda_1})$. ☐

Lemma 6.5. *Let* Λ *be a lattice of* $(\mathbb{C}, +)$*. Let* $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a real meromorphic function such that* Λ_g *is a discrete subgroup of* $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ *and* g *is algebraic over* $\mathbb{C}(\wp_\Lambda)$*. Then there exists a real lattice* $\Lambda' < \Lambda$ *such that* g *is algebraic over* $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda'})$*.*

Proof. Since Λ is a lattice, Λ_g is a real lattice by Lemmas 4.5.(2) and 4.6. Hence, by Corollary 4.7 there exists a real lattice Λ' of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ such that $\Lambda' < \Lambda$ and $\Lambda' < \Lambda_g$. On the other hand g is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_\Lambda)$ and $\wp_\Lambda$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda'})$, by Lemma 6.4, so g is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda'})$. ☐

Some of the possible locally Nash group structures for $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ will be given by Weierstrass $\wp$-functions over lattices of the form $< 1, ai >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$. We will use the notation $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_\Lambda)$ of the introduction.

Remark 6.6. Note that a nontrivial real discrete subgroup Λ of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ is of rank 1 if it is either of the form $< a >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ or $< ia >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$; and it is of rank 2 if it is has a finite index subgroup of the form $< a, bi >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Indeed, since Λ is real we must have $\overline{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The only special case is when $\Lambda =< \lambda, \overline{\lambda} >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\lambda = a + ib$ with both $a, b \neq 0$. Then $< 2a, 2ib >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the finite index subgroup of Λ.

Fact 6.7 ([10, Theorem 2])**.** *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and let $\Lambda_1 :=< 1, ia >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\Lambda_2 :=< 1, ib >_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda_1})$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda_2})$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups if and only if $ab^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Firstly, suppose that there are $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ such that $mn^{-1} = ab^{-1}$. Let $\Lambda :=< 1, ina >_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence, both $\Lambda < \Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda < \Lambda_2$. So, by Lemma 6.4, $\wp_\Lambda$ is algebraic over both $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda_1})$ and $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda_2})$. Hence $\wp_{\Lambda_1}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_{\Lambda_2})$. Since $\wp_{\Lambda_1}$ and $\wp_{\Lambda_2}$ are real meromorphic functions, $\wp_{\Lambda_1}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(\wp_{\Lambda_2})$. So $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda_1})$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda_2})$ are locally Nash isomorphism by Corollary 4.4.

Now, suppose that $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda_1})$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda_2})$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. By Corollary 4.4, there exists $\alpha \in GL_1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\wp_{\Lambda_2} \circ \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbb{R}(\wp_{\Lambda_1}).$$

Let c denote the unique element of $\mathbb{R}^*$ such that

$$\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto cx.$$

Let $\Lambda_2' := \alpha^{-1}(\Lambda_2)$. Then $\Lambda_2' =< c^{-1}, ibc^{-1} >_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We note that Λ_2' is the group of periods of $\wp_{\Lambda_2} \circ \alpha$. By Corollary 4.7 there exists a real lattice Λ of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ such that both $\Lambda < \Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda < \Lambda_2'$. By Remark 6.6 we may assume that there exist $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Lambda =< n_1, n_2 ia >_{\mathbb{Z}} =< m_1 c^{-1}, m_2 ibc^{-1} >_{\mathbb{Z}} .$$

So $m_1 c^{-1} = \ell n_1$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Also $n_2 ia = \ell m_2 ibc^{-1}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $ab^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$. ☐

Now we prove [10, Theorem 1] from a different point of view that involves ranks of lattices. We will use the notation $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ introduced before Theorem 4.3 (in particular we recall that the map associated to a chart of the identity can be a translate of f).

Theorem 6.8 ([10, Theorem 1])**.** *Every simply connected one-dimensional locally Nash group is isomorphic as a locally Nash group to one of the following locally Nash groups.*

1. $(\mathbb{R}, +, id)$.
2. $(\mathbb{R}, +, exp)$.
3. $(\mathbb{R}, +, sin)$.
4. $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_\Lambda)$ *where* $\Lambda =< 1, ia >_{\mathbb{Z}}$ *for some* $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

The three first ones are not locally Nash group isomorphic to each other and neither they are isomorphic to one of the fourth type. $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{<1,ia>_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{<1,ib>_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups if and only if $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. We first note that by Lemma 4.2 each of the four cases are indeed locally Nash groups. Every connected analytic group of dimension 1 is abelian, so by Theorem 4.3 every simply connected one-dimensional locally Nash group is isomorphic as a locally Nash group to some $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$, where $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a real meromorphic function admitting an AAT. We are in the hypothesis of Fact 6.1 and therefore there exists $\alpha \in GL_1(\mathbb{C})$ such that f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(g \circ \alpha)$, where $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is either id or exp or $\wp_\Lambda$ for some lattice Λ of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be such that $\alpha : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : u \mapsto cu$. We note that Λ_f is a real discrete subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ by Lemma 4.5.(3), also that, by Lemma 4.5.(4),

$$rank\, \Lambda_{id \circ \alpha} = 0, \quad rank\, \Lambda_{exp \circ \alpha} = 1, \quad rank\, \Lambda_{\wp_\Lambda \circ \alpha} = 2.$$

Case I: $rank\, \Lambda_f = 0$. Then, by Lemma 4.6, $rank\, \Lambda_{g \circ \alpha} = 0$. So f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(c \cdot id) = \mathbb{C}(id)$ and therefore by Corollary 4.4, $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, id)$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups, what gives us (1) in the statement of the theorem.

Case II: $rank\, \Lambda_f = 1$. Then, by Lemma 4.6, $rank\, \Lambda_{g \circ \alpha} = 1$. So, $g = exp$ and hence f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(exp \circ \alpha)$. By Remark 6.6 there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that either $\Lambda_g =< a >_\mathbb{Z}$ or either $\Lambda_g =< ia >_\mathbb{Z}$.
Subcase II.1: $\Lambda_g =< ia >_\mathbb{Z}$. In this case, $f(u)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(e^{2\pi u/a})$. Since both $f(u)$ and $u \mapsto e^{2\pi u/a}$ are real meromorphic functions, we get by Corollary 4.4 that $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, x \mapsto e^{2\pi x/a})$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. Let $\tilde{\alpha}(x) := ax/2\pi \in GL_1(\mathbb{R})$. Then again, by Corollary 4.4 applied to $\tilde{\alpha}$ we deduce that $(\mathbb{R}, +, exp)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, x \mapsto e^{2\pi x/a})$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. So $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ is locally Nash isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}, +, exp)$, what gives us (2) in the statement of the theorem.
Subcase II.2: $\Lambda_g =< a >_\mathbb{Z}$. In this case $f(u)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(e^{2\pi iu/a})$. Hence, $f(u)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{R}(sin(2\pi u/a))$. Hence applying Corollary 4.4 we deduce that $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, x \mapsto sin(2\pi x/a))$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. Again by Corollary 4.4 applied to $\tilde{\alpha}$ above we get that $(\mathbb{R}, +, sin)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, x \mapsto sin(2\pi x/a))$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. So $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ is locally Nash isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}, +, sin)$, what gives us (3) in the statement of the theorem.

Case 3: $rank\, \Lambda_f = 2$. Then, by Lemma 4.6, $rank\, \Lambda_{g \circ \alpha} = 2$. So there exists a lattice Λ of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ such that $g = \wp_\Lambda$ and hence $f(u)$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_\Lambda(cu))$. Since $\wp_\Lambda(cu) = c^{-2}\wp_{c^{-1}\Lambda}(u)$ and by Lemma 6.5, f is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(\wp_\Lambda)$ for some real lattice Λ of $(\mathbb{C}, +)$. Moreover, by Lemma 6.4 and Remark 6.6, we may assume that Λ is of the form $< a, ib >_\mathbb{Z}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Hence applying Corollary 4.4 we deduce that $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_\Lambda)$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. Let $\Lambda' :=< 1, ib/a >_\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}(x) := a^{-1}x \in GL_1(\mathbb{R})$. We note that $\wp_{\Lambda'}(\tilde{\alpha}(x)) = a^2\wp_\Lambda(x)$ and therefore by Corollary 4.4 applied to $\tilde{\alpha}$ we deduce that $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_\Lambda)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda'})$ are isomorphic as locally Nash groups. So in this case $(\mathbb{R}, +, f)$ is locally Nash isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}, +, \wp_{\Lambda'})$ where $\Lambda' =< 1, ia >_\mathbb{Z}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$, what gives us (4) in the statement of the theorem.

Now we show that the four types of groups considered are not isomorphic as locally Nash groups. By Proposition 4.8 the only ones that can be isomorphic as locally Nash groups are of the type (2) and (3) or both of the type (4).

Suppose $(\mathbb{R}, +, exp)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, +, sin)$ are isomorphic. Then by Corollary 4.4 there exists $\alpha \in GL_1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $x \mapsto e^{\alpha(x)}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(x \mapsto sin(x))$. Since the periods of $x \mapsto e^x$ are imaginary, the periods of $x \mapsto sin(x)$ are real numbers and α cannot map imaginary numbers into real numbers, this contradicts Lemma 4.6.

The last statement about groups of the fourth type follows from Fact 6.7. $\square$

References

[1] J. Frank Adams. *Lectures on Lie groups.* W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.

[2] Jacek Bochnak, Michel Coste, and Marie-Françoise Roy. *Real algebraic geometry*, volume 36 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the 1987 French original, Revised by the authors.

[3] Salomon Bochner and William Ted Martin. *Several Complex Variables.* Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 10. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1948.

[4] K. Chandrasekharan. *Elliptic functions*, volume 281 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[5] José F. Fernando, J. M. Gamboa, and Jesús M. Ruiz. Finiteness problems on Nash manifolds and Nash sets. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(3):537–570, 2014.

[6] Robert C. Gunning and Hugo Rossi. *Analytic functions of several complex variables.* Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.

[7] Harris Hancock. *Lectures on the theory of elliptic functions.* Wiley, New York, NY, 1910.

[8] Ehud Hrushovski and Anand Pillay. Groups definable in local fields and pseudo-finite fields. *Israel J. Math.*, 85(1-3):203–262, 1994.

[9] Ehud Hrushovski and Anand Pillay. Affine Nash groups over real closed fields. *Confluentes Math.*, 3(4):577–585, 2011.

[10] James J. Madden. Correction to: "One-dimensional Nash groups" [Pacific J. Math. **154** (1992), no. 2, 331–344; MR1159515 (93d:14087)] by Madden and C. M. Stanton. *Pacific J. Math.*, 161(2):393, 1993.

[11] James J. Madden and Charles M. Stanton. One-dimensional Nash groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 154(2):331–344, 1992.

[12] Raghavan Narasimhan. *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 25. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966.

[13] John Nash. Real algebraic manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 56:405–421, 1952.

[14] W. F. Newns. Functional dependence. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 74:911–920, 1967.

[15] Margarita Otero. A survey on groups definable in o-minimal structures. In *Model theory with applications to algebra and analysis. Vol. 2*, volume 350 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 177–206. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[16] Paul Painlevé. Sur les fonctions qui admettent un théorème d'addition. *Acta Math.*, 27(1):1–54, 1903.

[17] Masahiro Shiota. *Nash manifolds*, volume 1269 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[18] Masahiro Shiota. Nash functions and manifolds. In *Lectures in real geometry (Madrid, 1994)*, volume 23 of *de Gruyter Exp. Math.*, pages 69–112. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[19] C. L. Siegel. *Topics in complex function theory. Vol. III.* Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. Abelian functions and modular functions of several variables, Translated from the German by E. Gottschling and M. Tretkoff, With a

preface by Wilhelm Magnus, Reprint of the 1973 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[20] Lou van den Dries. *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, volume 248 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 MADRID (SPAIN)

E-mail address: `eliasbaro@pdi.ucm.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, 28040 MADRID (SPAIN)

E-mail address: `juan.devicente@uam.es`, `margarita.otero@uam.es`